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## Foundations

## Syntax

- Syntax and BNF Grammar
- Abstract Syntax Trees (AST)

Semantics

- Natural Operational Semantics (a.k.a. big step)
- Judgements and derivations


## Graphs

- Graph, cyclic, acyclic
- Nodes, edges, paths
- Trees, sub-graphs, sub-paths, ...
- Control Flow Graph (CFG)


## SYNTAX

## WHILE: A Simple Imperative Language

We will use a simple imperative language called WHILE

- the language is also sometimes called IMP

An example WHILE program:

```
{ p := 0; x := 1; n := 2 };
while x \leq n do {
    x := x + 1;
    p := p + m
```

\} ;
print_state
';' is a connective, not terminator as in C!

## WHILE: Syntactic Entities

```
n\inZ
true,false }\in\textrm{B
x,y\inL
e}\inA\mathrm{ Aexp
b}\in\mathrm{ Вехр
c}\inStm
```

- integers
- Booleans
- locations (program variables)
- arithmetic expressions
- Boolean expressions
- statements

Terminals are atomic entities that are completely defined by their tokens

- integers, Booleans, and locations are terminals

Non-Terminals are composed of of one or more terminals

- determined by rules of the grammar
- Aexp, Bexp, and Stmt are non-terminals


## WHILE: Syntax of Arithmetic Expressions

Arithmetic expressions (Aexp)

| $e::=$ | $\quad n$ | for $n \in Z$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $\mid-n$ | for $n \in Z$ |
|  | $\mid x$ | for $x \in L$ |
|  | $e_{1}$ aop $e_{2}$ |  |
|  | $\mid$ '('e's')' |  |

# BNF grammar rules 

aop := ‘*’|'/’|'-’|‘+’

Notes:

- Variables are not declared before use
- All variables have integer type
- Expressions have no side-effects

> BNF: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Backus\�\�\�Naur_form

## WHILE: Syntax of Boolean Expressions

```
Boolean expressions (Bexp)
b ::= 'true'
    | 'false'
    | ᄀb
    | e rop e e m for e}\mp@subsup{e}{1}{},\mp@subsup{e}{2}{}\in\operatorname{Aexp
    | e bop b b for e e, e}\mp@subsup{e}{2}{}\in\operatorname{Bexp
    | '(' b ')'
rop ::= ‘<' | <<=` | ==` |'>=` |'>'
bop ::= 'and'| 'or'
```


## Syntax of Statements

Statements
s ::= skip
|x:= e
| if $b$ then s [ else s]
| while b do s
| '\{' slist '\}'
| print_state
| assert b | assume b | havoc v1, ..., vN
slist ::= s (';'s )*
prog ::= slist
Notes:

- Semi-colon ';’ is a statement composition, not statement terminator!!!!
- Statements contain all the side-effects in the language
- Many usual features of a PL are missing: references, function calls, ...
- the language is very very simple yet hard to analyze


## Abstract Syntax Tree (AST)

AST is an abstract tree representation of the source code

- each node represents a syntactic construct occurring in the code
- statement, variable, operator, statement list
- called "abstract" because some details of concrete syntax are omitted
- AST normalizes (provides common representation) of irrelevant differences in syntax (e.g., white space, comments, order of operations)
- example AST: (x + 3) * (y - 5)



## Language Parsing in a Nutshell

BNF grammar


> Parser
> Generator


## Source Code



Parser


AST

Parser generator

- input: BNF grammar; output: parser (program)

Parser

- input: program source code; output: AST or error


## WHILE AST in Python

One class per syntactic entity

One field per child

Class hierarchy corresponds to the semantic one

```
class Ast(object):
Class Ast(object):
    """Base class of AST hierarchy"""
    pass
class Stmt (Ast):
    """A single statement"""
    pass
class AsgnStmt (Stmt):
    """An assignment statement"""
    def __init__(self, lhs, rhs):
        self.lhs = lhs
        self.rhs = rhs
class IfStmt (Stmt):
    """If-then-else statement"""
    def __init__(self, cond, then_stmt, else_stmt=None):
        self.cond = cond
        self.then_stmt = then_stmt
        self.else_stmt = else_stmt
```


## Behavior Pattern: Visitor

Applicability

- Object hierarchy with many classes
- Operations depend on classes
- Set of classes is stable
- Want to define new operations

Consequences

- Simplifies adding new operations
- Groups related behavior in one class
- Extending class hierarchy is difficult
- Visitor can maintain state

- Element must expose interface


## In Python

- Method name is used instead of polymorphism, e.g., visit_Stmt()
- Visitor's visit() method dispatches calls based on reflection. No need for accept()


## Example Visitor in Python

```
- - Emacs-x86_64-10_9 Prelude - /tmp/ast.py
class AstVisitor(object)
class AstVisitor(object):
    """Base class for AST visitor"""
    def __init__(self):
        pass
    def visit (self, node, *args, **kwargs):
        """Visit a node."""
        method = 'visit_' + node.__class__.__name
        visitor = getattr (self, method)
        return visitor (node, *args, **kwargs)
    def visit_BoolConst (self, node, *args, **kwargs):
        visitor = getattr (self, 'visit_' + Const.
```

$\qquad$

``` _) return visitor (node, *args, **kwargs)
```

```
class PrintVisitor (AstVisitor):
    """A printing visitor"""
    def visit_IntVar (self, node, *args, **kwargs):
        self._write (node.name)
    def visit_Const (self, node, *args, **kwargs):
        self._write (node.val)
    def visit_Exp (self, node, *args, **kwargs):
    if node.is_unary ():
        self._write (node.op)
        self.visit (node.arg (0))
        else:
        self._open_brkt (**kwargs)
        self.visit (node.arg (0))
        for a in node.args [1:]:
            self._write (' ')
            self._write (node.op)
            self._write (' ')
            self.visit (a)
        self._close_brkt (**kwargs)
```


## Exercise: Implement a state counting visitor

Write a visitor that counts the number of statements in a program

- (a) Implementation 1:
- the visitor should be stateless and return the number of statements
- (b) Implementation 2:
- uses an internal state (field) to keep track of the number of statements


## Stateless Visitor

```
class StmtCounterStateless (wlang.ast.AstVisitor):
    def __init__ (self):
    super (StmtCounterStateless, self).__init__ ()
    def visit_StmtList (self, node, *args, **kwargs):
        if node.stmts is None:
        return 0
        res = 0
        for s in node.stmts:
        res = res + self.visit (s)
        return res
    def visit_IfStmt (self, node, *args, **kwargs):
    res = 1 + self.visit (node.then_stmt)
    if node.has_else ():
            res = res + self.visit (node.else_stmt)
    return res
    def visit_WhileStmt (self, node, *args, **kwargs):
    return 1 + self.visit (node.body)
def visit_Stmt (self, node, *args, **kwargs):
    return 1
```


## Statefull Visitor

```
class StmtCounterStatefull (wlang.ast.AstVisitor):
    def __init__ (self):
        super (StmtCounterStatefull, self).__init__ ()
        self._count = 0
    def get_num_stmts (self):
        return self._count
    def count (self, node, *args, **kwargs):
        self._count = 0
        self.visit (node, *args, **kwargs)
    def visit_StmtList (self, node, *args, **kwargs):
        if node.stmts is None:
                return
        for s in node.stmts:
        self.visit (s)
    def visit_Stmt (self, node, *args, **kwargs):
        self._count = self._count + 1
    def visit_IfStmt (self, node, *args, **kwargs):
        self.visit_Stmt (node)
        self.visit (node.then_stmt)
        if node.has_else ():
            self.visit (node.else_stmt)
    def visit_WhileStmt (self, node, *args, **kwargs):
        self.visit_Stmt (node)
        self.visit (node.body)
```


## From Programming to Modeling

## Extend a programming language with 3 modeling features

Assertions

- assert e - aborts an execution when e is false, no-op otherwise

$$
\text { void assert (bool b) \{ if (!b) error(); \} }
$$

Non-determinism

- havoc x - assigns variable x a non-deterministic value

$$
\text { void havoc(int } \& x)\{\text { int } y ; x=y ;\}
$$

Assumptions

- assume e - blocks execution if e is false, noop otherwise

$$
\text { void assume (bool e) \{ while (!e) ; \} }
$$

## Safety Specifications as Assertions

A program is correct if all executions that satisfy all assumptions also satisfy all assertions

A program is incorrect if there exists an execution that satisfies all of the assumptions AND violates at least one an assertion

Assumptions express pre-conditions on which the program behavior relies

Assertions express desired properties that the program must maintain

## Non-determinism vs. Randomness

A deterministic function always returns the same result on the same input

- e.g., $F(5)=10$

A non-deterministic function may return different values on the same input

- e.g., $G(5)$ in $[0,10]$ " $G(5)$ returns a non-deterministic value between 0 and 10 "

A random function may choose a different value with a probability distribution

- e.g., $H(5)=(3$ with prob. $0.3,4$ with prob. 0.2 , and 5 with prob. 0.5 )

Non-deterministic choice cannot be implemented!

- used to model the worst possible adversary/environment


## Modeling with Non-determinism

```
int x, y;
void main (void)
{
    havoc (x);
    assume (x > 10);
    assume (x <= 100);
    y = x + 1;
    assert (y > x);
    assert (y < 200);
}
```


## Order of Assumptions

```
int x, y;
void main (void)
{
    havoc (x);
    y = x + 1;
    assume (x > 10);
    assume (x <= 100);
    assert (y > x);
    assert (y < 200);
}
```

```
int x, y;
void main (void)
{
    havoc (x);
    y = x + 1;
    assert (y > x);
    assert (y< 200);
    assume (x > 10);
    assume (x <= 100);
}
```


## Dangers of unrestricted assumptions

Assumptions can lead to vacuous correctness claims!!!

Is this program correct?

```
if (x > 0) {
```

if (x > 0) {
assume (x < 0);
assume (x < 0);
assert (0); }

```
    assert (0); }
```

Assume must either be checked with assert or used as an idiom:

```
havoc (x);
havoc (y);
assume (x < y);
```


## SEMANTICS

## Meaning of WHILE Programs

Questions to answer:
-What is the "meaning" of a given WHILE expression/statement?

- How would we evaluate WHILE expressions and statements?
- How are the evaluator and the meaning related?
- How can we reason about the effect of a command?


## Semantics of Programming Languages

## Denotational Semantics

- Meaning of a program is defined as the mathematical object it computes (e.g., partial functions).
- example: Abstract Interpretation

Axiomatic Semantics

- Meaning of a program is defined in terms of its effect on the truth of logical assertions.
- example: Hoare Logic
(Structural) Operational Semantics
- Meaning of a program is defined by formalizing the individual computation steps of the program.
- example: Natural Operational Semantics


## Semantics of WHILE

The meaning of WHILE expressions depends on the values of variables, i.e. the current state.

A state $s$ is a function from $L$ to $Z$

- assigns a value for every location/variable
- notation: $s(x)$ is the value of variable $x$ in state $s$

The set of all states is $Q=L \rightarrow Z$

We use $q$ to range over $Q$

## Judgments

We write $<\mathrm{e}, \mathrm{q}>\Downarrow \mathrm{n}$ to mean that expression $e$ evaluates to $n$ in state $q$.

- The formula $<e, q>\Downarrow n$ is called a judgment (a judgement is a relation between an expression $e$, a state $q$ and a number $n$ )
- We can view $\Downarrow$ as a function of two arguments $e$ and $q$

This formulation is called natural operational semantics

- also known as big-step operational semantics
- the judgment relates the expression and its "meaning"

How to define <e1 +e2, q> $\Downarrow \ldots ?$

## Inference Rules

We express the evaluation rules as inference rules for our judgments.
The rules are also called evaluation rules.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { An inference rule } \frac{F_{1} \ldots F_{n}}{G} \text { where } H \\
& \text { defines a relation between judgments } F_{1}, \ldots, F_{n} \text { and } G \text {. } \\
& \text { - The judgments } F_{1}, \ldots, F_{n} \text { are the premises of the rule; } \\
& \text { - The judgments } G \text { is the conclusion of the rule; } \\
& \text { - The formula } H \text { is called the side condition of the rule. } \\
& \text { If } n=0 \text { the rule is called an axiom. In this case, the line } \\
& \text { separating premises and conclusion may be omitted. }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Inference Rules for Aexp

In general, we have one rule per language construct:

$$
\begin{array}{lc}
\hline<n, q>\Downarrow n & \overline{<x, q>\Downarrow q(x)} \\
\begin{array}{c}
<e_{1}, q>\Downarrow n_{1} \quad<e_{2}, q>\Downarrow n_{2} \\
<e_{1}+e_{2}, q>\Downarrow\left(n_{1}+n_{2}\right)
\end{array} & \frac{<e_{1}, q>\Downarrow n_{1} \quad<e_{2}, q>\Downarrow n_{2}}{<e_{1}-e_{2}, q>\Downarrow\left(n_{1}-n_{2}\right)} \\
\frac{<e_{1}, q>\Downarrow n_{1} \quad<e_{2}, q>\Downarrow n_{2}}{\left\langle e_{1}{ }^{*} e_{2}, q>\Downarrow\left(n_{1}{ }^{*} n_{2}\right)\right.}
\end{array}
$$

This is called structural operational semantics.

- rules are defined based on the structure of the expressions.


## Inference Rules for Bexp

<true, $q>\Downarrow$ true
$\frac{<e_{1}, q>\Downarrow n_{1} \quad<e_{2}, q>\Downarrow n_{2}}{<e_{1}=e_{2}, q>\Downarrow\left(n_{1}=n_{2}\right)} \quad \frac{<e_{1}, q>\Downarrow n_{1}<e_{2}, q>\Downarrow n_{2}}{<e_{1} \leq e_{2}, q>\Downarrow\left(n_{1} \leq n_{2}\right)}$

$$
\frac{\left\langle b_{1}, q>\Downarrow t_{1} \quad<e_{2}, q>\Downarrow t_{2}\right.}{<b_{1} \wedge b_{2}, q>\Downarrow\left(t_{1} \wedge t_{2}\right)}
$$

## Derivation

Derivation is a well-formed application of inference rules

- Derivation infers new facts from existing ones

$$
\begin{gathered}
\quad<7, q>\Downarrow 7 \quad<2, q>\Downarrow 2 \\
\frac{<5, q>\Downarrow 5 \quad<7^{*} 2, q>\Downarrow 14}{<5+\left(7^{*} 2\right), q>\Downarrow 19}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Evaluation of Statements

Evaluation of a statement produces a side-effect

- The result of evaluation of a statement is a new state

We write $<s, q>\Downarrow q$ to mean that evaluation of statement $s$ in state $q$ results in a new state $q$ '

$$
\begin{gathered}
\begin{array}{c}
\text { <skip, } q>\Downarrow q \\
<s_{1}, q>\Downarrow q^{\prime \prime} \quad<s_{2}, q^{\prime \prime}>\Downarrow q^{\prime} \\
\hline<s_{1} ; s_{2}, q>\Downarrow q^{\prime}
\end{array} \frac{<b, q>\Downarrow \text { true } \quad<s_{1}, q>\Downarrow q q^{\prime}}{<\text { if } b \text { then } s_{1} \text { else } s_{2}, q>\Downarrow q^{\prime}} \\
\frac{<e, q>\Downarrow n}{<x:=e, q>\Downarrow q[x:=n]} \quad \frac{<b, q>\Downarrow \text { false } \quad<s_{2}, q>\Downarrow q}{\text { <if } b \text { then } s_{1} \text { else } s_{2}, q>\Downarrow q},
\end{gathered}
$$

## Derivation and Execution

Derivation of statement facts corresponds to execution / interpretation
For example

- Show that <p:=0; x:=1; n:=2, [ ]> $\downarrow[p:=0, x:=1, n:=2]$

| $<0,[]>0$ | $<1,[p:=0]>\downarrow 1$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| <p:=0, []> $\downarrow$ [p:=0] | $x:=1,[p:=0]>\Downarrow[p:=0, x:=1]$ |

$$
\begin{gathered}
<p:=0, x:=1,[]>\Downarrow[p:=0, x:=1] \quad<n:=2,[p:=0, x:=1]>\Downarrow[p:=0, x:=1, n:=2] \\
<p:=0 ; x:=1 ; n:=2,[]>\Downarrow[p:=0, x:=1, n:=2]
\end{gathered}
$$

## Semantics of Loops



What about infinite execution?

- Can introduce a special state ${ }^{\top}$, called top, that represents divergence
- Infinite loop enters divergent state
$\overline{<\text { while true do } s, q>\Downarrow \top}$
- Any statement in divergent state is treated like 'skip'

$$
<s, \top>\Downarrow \top
$$

Need small step semantics to deal with reactive execution

- execution that does not terminate, but produces useful result


## GRAPHS

## Graphs

A graph, $\mathrm{G}=(\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{E})$, is an ordered pair consisting of

- a node set, N , and
- an edge set, $E=\left\{\left(n_{i}, n_{j}\right)\right\}$

If the pairs in E are ordered, then $G$ is called a directed graph and is depicted with arrowheads on its edges

If not, the graph is called an undirected graph

Graphs are suggestive devices that help in the visualization of relations

- The set of edges in the graph are visual representations of the ordered pairs that compose relations

Graphs provide a mathematical basis for reasoning about programs

## Paths

a path, $P$, through a directed graph $G=(N, E)$ is a sequence of edges, $\left(\left(u_{1}, v_{1}\right),\left(u_{2}, v_{2}\right), \ldots\left(u_{t}, v_{t}\right)\right.$ such that

- $v_{k-1}=u_{k}$ for all $1<k \leq t$
- $u_{1}$ is called the start node and $v_{t}$ is called the end node

The length of a path is the number of edges (or nodes-1 $\cdot)$ ) in the path

Paths are also frequently represented by a sequence of nodes

- $\left(\mathrm{u}_{1}, \mathrm{u}_{2}, \mathrm{u}_{3}, \ldots, \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{t}}\right)$


## Cycles

A cycle in a graph G is a path whose start node and end node are the same

A simple cycle in a graph $G$ is a cycle such that all of its nodes are different (except for the start and end nodes)

If a graph $G$ has no path through it that is a cycle, then the graph is called acyclic

## Example of Cycles

Cycle: 1,3,2,4,3,1
Simple cycle:3,2,4,3

## Trees

An acyclic, undirected graph is called a tree

If the undirected version of a directed graph is acyclic, then the graph is called a directed tree

If the undirected version of a directed graph has cycles, but the directed graph itself has no cycles, then the graph is called a Directed Acyclic Graph (DAG)

Every tree is isomorphic to a prefix-closed subset of $\mathrm{N}^{*}$ for some natural number N

## Examples



## GRAPHS AS MODELS OF COMPUTATION

## Computation tree

A tree model of all the possible executions of a system

At each node represents a state of the system

- valuation of all variables

Can have infinite number of paths

Can have infinite paths

## Example Computation Tree

```
total := 0;
count := 1;
max := input();
while (count <= max)
    do {
    val := input();
    total := total+val;
    count := count+1};
print (total)
```



Is this tree infinite?

## Disadvantages of Computation Trees

Represent the space that we want to reason about

For anything interesting, they are too large to create or reason about

Other models of executable behavior are providing abstractions of the computation tree model

- Abstract values
- Abstract flow of control
- Specialize abstraction depending on focus of analysis


## Control Flow Graph (CFG)

Represents the flow of execution in the program
$\mathrm{G}=(\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{E}, \mathrm{S}, \mathrm{T})$ where

- the nodes N represent executable instructions (statement, statement fragments, or basic blocks);
- the edges E represent the potential transfer of control;
- $S$ is a designated start node;
- T is a designated final node
- $E=\left\{\left(n_{i}, n_{j}\right) \mid\right.$ syntactically, the execution of $n_{j}$ follows the execution of $\left.n_{i}\right\}$

Nodes may correspond to single statements, parts of statements, or several statements (i.e., basic blocks)

Execution of a node means that the instructions associated with a node are executed in order from the first instruction to the last

## Example of a Control Flow Graph

```
total := 0;
count := 1;
max := input();
while (count <= max)
    do {
    val := input();
    total := total+val;
    count := count+1};
print (total)
```



## Deriving a Control Flow Graph



## Control Flow Graph

A CFG is a graph of basic blocks

- edges represent different control flow

A CFG corresponds to a program syntax

- where statements are restricted to the form
$\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{i}}: \mathrm{S}$; goto $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{j}}$
and $S$ is control-free (i.e., assignments and procedure calls)


## basic block



## CFG: Sub-path and Complete Path

a sub-path through a CFG is a sequence of nodes $\left(n_{i}, n_{i+1}, \ldots n_{t}\right), i \geq 1$ where for each $n_{k}, i \leq k<t,\left(n_{k}, n_{k+1}\right)$ is an edge in the graph

- e.g., 2, 3, 2, 3, 2, 4
a complete path starts at the start node and ends at the final node
- e.g., 1, 2, 3, 2, 4



## Infeasible Paths

Every executable sequence in the represented component corresponds to a path in G

Not all paths correspond to executable sequences

- requires additional semantic information
- "infeasible paths" are not an indication of a fault

CFG usually overestimates the executable behavior

## Example with an infeasible path



## Example Paths

Feasible path: 1, 2, 4, 5, 7

Infeasible path: 1, 3, 4, 5, 7

Determining if a path is feasible or not requires additional semantic information

- In general, undecidable
- In practice, intractable
-Some exceptions are studied in this course


## Infeasible paths vs. unreachable vs dead code

unreachable code

- X : $=\mathrm{X}+1$;
- goto loop;
- $\mathrm{Y}:=\mathrm{Y}+5$;


## Never executed

dead code

- $\mathrm{X}:=\mathrm{X}+1$;
- $\mathrm{X}:=7$;
- X := X + Y;
'Executed', but irrelevant


## Benefits of CFG

Probably the most commonly used representation

- Numerous variants

Basis for inter-component analysis

- Collections of CFGs

Basis for various transformations

- Compiler optimizations
- S/W analysis

Basis for automated analysis

- Graphical representations of interesting programs are too complex for direct human understanding

Paths


Paths can be identified by predicate outcomes


## Paths can be identified by domains



## CFG Abstraction Level?

Loop conditions? (yes)
Individual statements? (no)
Exception handling? (no)

What's best depends on type of analysis to be conducted

## CFG Exercise (1)

## Draw a control flow graph with 7 nodes.

```
int binary_search(int a[], int low, int high,
int target) { /* binary search for target in
the sorted a[low, high] */
    1 while (low <= high) {
    2 int middle = low + (high - low)/2;
    3 if (target < a[middle])
    4 high = middle - 1;
    5 else if (target > a[middle])
                        low = middle + 1;
        else
    7 return middle;
        }
    8 return -1; /* return-1 if target is not
found in a[low, high] */
}
```


## CFG Exercise (2)

## Draw a control flow graph with 8 nodes.

int binary_search(int a[], int low, int high, int target) \{ /* binary search for target in the sorted a[low, high] */
1 while (low <= high) \{
2 int middle = low + (high - low)/2;
3 if (target < a[middle])
4 high = middle - 1;
5 else if (target >a[middle]) low = middle +1 ;
else
7 return middle; \}
8 return -1 ; /* return - 1 if target is not
found in a[low, high] */
\}

## CFG Exercise (1) Solution

## Draw a control flow graph with 7 nodes.

int binary_search(int a[], int low, int high int target) \{ /* binary search for target in the sorted a[low, high] */
1 while (low <= high) \{
2 int middle = low + (high - low)/2;
3 if (target < a[middle])
4 high = middle - 1;
5 else if (target > a[middle])
$6 \quad$ low $=$ middle +1 ;
else
7 return middle; \}
8 return -1 ; /* return -1 if target is not found in a[low, high] */


## CFG Exercise (2) Solution

## Draw a control flow graph with 8 nodes.

int binary_search(int a[], int low, int high, int target) \{ /* binary search for target in the sorted a[low, high] */
1 while (low <= high) \{
2 int middle = low + (high - low)/2;
3 if (target < a[middle])
4 high = middle - 1 ;
5 else if (target > a[middle])
$6 \quad$ low $=$ middle +1 ;
else
7 return middle; \}
8 return -1 ; /* return - 1 if target is not found in a[low, high] */

\}

## CFG Exercise (3)

/* Function: ReturnAverage Computes the average of all those numbers in the input array in the positive range [MIN, MAX]. The max size of the array is AS. But, the array size could be smaller than $A S$ in which case the end of input is designated by -999. */

1 public static double ReturnAverage(int value[], int AS, int MIN, int MAX) \{
double av;
$\mathrm{i}=0 ; \mathrm{ti}=0 ; \mathrm{tv}=0 ;$ sum $=0$;
while ( ti < AS \&\& value[i] != -999) \{
ti++;
if (value[i] >= MIN \&\& value[i] <= MAX) \{
tv++;
sum $=$ sum + value $[\mathrm{i}]$;
\}
i++;
\}
if $(\operatorname{tv}>0)$ av $=($ double $)$ sum/tv;
else $\mathrm{av}=($ double $)-999$;
return (av);

## CFG of ReturnAverage



## Single Static Assignment

SSA == every variable has a unique assignment (a definition)
A procedure is in SSA form if every variable has exactly one definition

SSA form is used by many compilers

- explicit def-use chains
- simplifies optimizations and improves analyses

PHI-function are necessary to maintain unique definitions in branching control flow

$$
\left.\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{PHI}\left(\mathrm{v}_{0}: \mathrm{bb}_{0}, \ldots, \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{n}}: \mathrm{bb}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)\right) \quad \text { (phi-assignment) }
$$

" $x$ gets $v_{i}$ if previously executed block was bbi"

## Single Static Assignment: An Example val:bb



