#### **Algorithm Analysis**



#### Carlos Moreno cmoreno@uwaterloo.ca EIT-4103

Input: x;  $e = (d_{\ell-1}d_{\ell-2} \cdots d_1d_0)_{\text{NAF}}$ Returns:  $x^e \triangleright$ 

#### https://ece.uwaterloo.ca/~cmoreno/ece250

These slides, the course material, and course web site are based on work by Douglas W. Harder

#### Algorithm Analysis

# Standard reminder to set phones to silent/vibrate mode, please!



- Previously, on ECE-250...
  - We looked at:
    - Asymptotic Analysis
    - Asymptotic notation
    - Tried to figure out run times (in asymptotic notation) for some simple algorithms from their description

- In today's class:
  - We'll look in more detail at the analysis of algorithms.
  - In particular, given an algorithm, analyze it to determine its run time and space requirements
    - (In today's examples, we'll put some more emphasis on runtimes — when dealing with data structures and operations on them, we'll probably put the emphasis on space requirements)
  - We'll look into some of the common constructs in C++ (should be useful for the general case)

- Analyzing algorithms typically involves:
  - Counting instances of execution for the various sections:
    - A program may be three or four lines, yet those could translate into several thousand operations (e.g., loops)
    - (BTW, what else, other than loops?)
  - Figuring out the run time for each of the simpler parts.
  - Compute totals and figure out the appropriate class (as in, which Landau symbol and what function)

- We already mentioned the issue of counting operations when the number of times something executes depends on the data (and thus, may vary from execution to execution)
- We also mentioned that if we're restricted to one figure to describe the algorithm, then we use the worst-case analysis.
  - In some (perhaps most) cases, it is also a good idea to include average-case analysis as well.

- We'll look at:
  - Operators and expressions
  - Control statements
    - Conditional statements and loops
  - Functions
  - Recursive functions (if enough time)

#### Algorithm Analysis

- Operators, as a general rule, map to one or a few (as in, a fixed number of) assembly-level instructions.
- For example, the statement a += b; could map to something like:

addl %eax, -4(%rbp)

- This automatically tells us that these operators' run time is  $\Theta(1)$
- This includes:
  - Reading variables / assigning variables (=)
  - Arithmetic operations (+, -, \*, /, %, ++, --)
  - Logical and bitwise operations (and/or/not/xor)
  - Comparisons (==, !=, <, <=, >, >=)
  - Subscripting ([]) and dereferencing (\*, ->)
  - Memory allocation and deallocation (new, delete)

- However, there may be exceptions: with strings, comparison operators can take linear time (if measured with respect to the length of the string), and so does operator + (for strings, this means concatenation).
- And for that matter, with operators used on user-defined types, operators translate into calling a user-provided function, so all bets are off! (the function could execute in linear time, or in any case, in ω(1))

## Algorithm Analysis

Notice that, even though memory allocation and deallocation (with new/delete) can be slower than the other operations by a factor of possibly several hundred, they are still Θ(1) — not really a constant amount of time, but upper-bounded by a constant, and thus *constant time* in the sense of being Θ(1).

- Expressions (e.g., arithmetic or logical expressions) are nothing more than an arrangement of a fixed number of operators; thus, they are also Θ(1).
  - Careful if the expression involves calling functions, then we have to first figure out (or find out — presumably through its documentation) the runtime of the function: it may not be Θ(1) !

#### Algorithm Analysis

 We could take it a little further and think of "higher-level" operations such as swapping two variables, and consider them (when possible) a single operation running in Θ(1):

```
int tmp = a;
a = b;
b = tmp;
```

• Three operations,  $\Theta(1)$  each — thus, as a whole, swapping two integers takes  $\Theta(1)$ .

#### Algorithm Analysis

Another example: inserting an element (say, a given value) to a linked list:

Node \* new\_elem = new Node (value); new\_elem->d\_next = cur->next(); cur->d\_next = new\_elem;

#### Algorithm Analysis

Another example: inserting an element (say, a given value) to a linked list:

Node \* new\_elem = new Node (value); new\_elem->d\_next = cur->next(); cur->d\_next = new\_elem;

 Shall we work on the board a graphical representation to make sure the above code is correct?

#### **Algorithm Analysis**

• Even if it is a doubly-linked list:

Node \* new\_elem = new Node (value); new\_elem->d\_next = cur->next(); new\_elem->d\_prev = cur; cur->d\_next = new\_elem; cur->next()->d\_prev = new\_elem;

#### Algorithm Analysis

• Even if it is a doubly-linked list:

Node \* new\_elem = new Node (value); new\_elem->d\_next = cur->next(); new\_elem->d\_prev = cur; cur->d\_next = new\_elem; cur->next()->d\_prev = new\_elem;

 Ok, so there is this severe bug, as we just saw on the board, and that hopefully you will fix; but that does not change the example — it is a fixed number of operations, and thus Θ(1).

- Next we'll look at these flow-control statements:
  - Conditionals (if, if else, switch)
  - Loops (for, while, do while)

- In both cases, there are two aspects to consider:
  - The time that it takes to determine what to do (e.g., whether or not to execute a block, whether or not to continue to the next pass of the loop, etc.).
  - How does the outcome of the decision affects the operations count.

- In both cases, there are two aspects to consider:
  - The time that it takes to determine what to do (e.g., whether or not to execute a block, whether or not to continue to the next pass of the loop, etc.).
  - How does the outcome of the decision affects the operations count.
- Notice that in nested control statements, we must work starting from the inner-most and working our way out.

- To determine what to do, a condition has to be evaluated — but a condition is nothing more than an expression (one that evaluates to a boolean value), and thus, it takes constant time
  - Again, careful if, as part of the condition, we call a function, then further analysis is necessary.

#### Algorithm Analysis

 Once we determine this, then we count operations accordingly — for a conditional instruction (e.g., an if statement), we just add or don't add the runtime of the block associated to the if.

## Algorithm Analysis

 Possibly trick question — what is the run time of the following "conditionally swap"?

```
if (a > b)
{
    int tmp = a;
    a = b;
    b = tmp;
}
```

## Algorithm Analysis

 Possibly trick question — what is the run time of the following "conditionally swap"?

```
if (a > b)
{
    int tmp = a;
    a = b;
    b = tmp;
}
```

 Hopefully, you saw this one coming: Θ(1) (what a surprise, huh? :-))

- Switch statements are actually a very efficient form of of a bunch of if – else if in a row. Thus, not surprisingly, the decision part of a switch statement runs in Θ(1).
- The requirement is that the cases be compiletime integral constants — thus, the compiler implements a so-called *jump table*, translating the whole thing into possibly a single assemblylevel instruction! (some times a few of them)

#### Algorithm Analysis

 Of course, that's for the decision part only; if the instructions in the selected case statement runs in ω(1), then we would take that into account as required.

- Example (perhaps trick question?): What is the run time of the following?
- So, we need to know the fraction

## **Algorithm Analysis**

• Example (perhaps trick question?): What is the run time of the following?

```
switch (user_selection)
{
    case 'a':
        // something \Theta(1), then break;
    case 'b':
        // something \Theta(\log n), then break;
    case 'c':
        // something \Theta(n), then break;
    default:
        // Print error message, presumably \Theta(1)
}
```

#### Algorithm Analysis

• Presumably, we're doing worst-case analysis, so we'd have to take the  $\Theta(n)$  path.

#### Algorithm Analysis

 Perhaps an even trickier question — what is the average-case run time of that fragment? In particular, how do the probabilities of each selection affect the average-case run time?

- Perhaps an even trickier question what is the average-case run time of that fragment? In particular, how do the probabilities of each selection affect the average-case run time?
- Not surprising that it is also  $\Theta(n)$  as long as the fraction for the case 'c' is nonzero, then the weighted average shows the term  $\Theta(n)$ , so the result is  $\Theta(n)$ : T(n) =  $w_1 \cdot 1 + w_2 \cdot \log n + w_3 \cdot n =$  $\Theta(n)$  if  $w_3 \neq 0$

- With loops (both for and while loops), there is inititlization, per-pass condition check and update of the control variable.
- These usually take Θ(1) thus, the important part to figure out is how many times it will run, and multiply the run time of the body of the loop times the number of times it runs.

#### **Algorithm Analysis**

• For example, the following loop:

ERSITY

ERLOO

```
for (int i = 0; i < n; i++)
{
     // code that executes in Θ(f(n))
}</pre>
```

- Runs in  $\Theta(n f(n)) \Theta(1) + n \times (\Theta(1) + \Theta(f(n)))$
- Why each of the terms above?
- Why is  $\Theta(1) + \Theta(f(n)) = \Theta(f(n))$ ??

- $\Theta(1)$  for the initialization of the control variable.
- Then, n times evaluating the condition and increasing the control variable (Θ(1)) and n times executing code that is Θ(f(n)).
- Θ(1) + Θ(f(n)) has to be Θ(f(n)) the lowest f(n) can be is 1 (in which case the sum would be Θ(1); anything above, it makes Θ(1) negligible, so the sum is Θ(f(n))

#### Algorithm Analysis

 Hmm... Problem: how do we know how many times something like this will run?

- We keep in mind the distinction between worstcase analysis and average-case analysis.
- So, what would be the worst-case runtime of the previous fragment that adds all values in an array of *n* elements, stopping at the first negative value?

- We keep in mind the distinction between worstcase analysis and average-case analysis.
- So, what would be the worst-case runtime of the previous fragment that adds all values in an array of *n* elements, stopping at the first negative value?
- How about a tough one what would be the average-case run time?

- Worst case is straightforward the loop could go until the end of the array (if the array contains no negative values).
- The actual average-case run time may be tough to compute (plus, we'd need a model of the probabilities of each values, etc.). But we should suspect that for whatever probability distribution, the average is going to be a given (non-zero) fraction of the total so, surprise surprise!! The average case is also Θ(n) !! :-)

#### Algorithm Analysis

• Another example: what is the run time of the loop below?

```
for (int i = 1; i < n; i *= 2)
{
    // Something that is Θ(1)
}</pre>
```

#### Algorithm Analysis

• Another example: what is the run time of the loop below?

 By now, I would certainly hope that I've bothered you enough with this that you'll see the answer right away!! (yes?)

#### Algorithm Analysis

• Now for nested loops: what is the run time of the fragment below?

```
int sum = 0;
for (int i = 1; i < n; i++)
{
    for (int k = 0; k < i; k++)
    {
        sum += i+k;
    }
}</pre>
```

• Same comment as for previous slide :-)

## Algorithm Analysis

• What about functions?

- What about functions?
- For the most part, functions are quite easy to handle: calling a function involves setting up a few things, adjusting the CPU stack, a few registers, saving the current values of the registers, etc.

- What about functions?
- For the most part, functions are quite easy to handle: calling a function involves setting up a few things, adjusting the CPU stack, a few registers, saving the current values of the registers, etc.
- Not only these are a fixed number of instructions, and thus Θ(1) — on most modern CPUs, this is done with a single assembly-level instruction! (because the task is so common!)

- Notice that inline functions may change the rules, reducing this time to 0 (and for that matter, the optimizing stage of the compiler could radically change the situation).
- (if you're unfamiliar with this notion, look up not now; after class, of course! — "C++ inline functions" to find out)
- However, since we're often doing worst-case analysis, it's ok to assume no inlining and no aggressive optimizations.

## Algorithm Analysis

• Bottom line:

With functions, the analysis typically boils down to either figuring out, or finding out through the function's documentation, what the run time of the function is, and add it as you would add the run time of any fragment of code in its place.

## Algorithm Analysis

 Figuring out the run time of a function is not unlike figuring out the run time of any other block of code — after all, a function is a block of code (properly identified and "packaged" so that it can be called from multiple places).

- Figuring out the run time of a function is not unlike figuring out the run time of any other block of code — after all, a function is a block of code (properly identified and "packaged" so that it can be called from multiple places).
- BTW, all of this applies as well to member functions in a class!

- The only caveat is when a function calls itself (i.e., a *recursive* function)
- Not too bad, though the situation usually boils down to a recurrence relation; the run time of the function that we're trying to figure out includes the recursive call to itself, typically for a problem with lower size (otherwise the recursion would never end, right?)

- An example: let's do a (somewhat silly) recursive version of finding the highest value in an array — we split the array in two halves, recursively call the function to find the highest in each of the two halves, and return the higher of the two returned values.
- The base case being an array of 1 element, in which case the highest value is *the* (only) value.

#### **Algorithm Analysis**

 Something like this (for simplicity, assume n is a power of 2):

- So, the run time is the sum of three parts:
  - The "setup" to call the function and pass the parameters (we know this is  $\Theta(1)$ ).
  - The if block (which we now know that is  $\Theta(1)$ )
  - Two times the run time of the function for half the size (well, plus a Θ(1) for std::max to determine the higher of the two values)

- So, the run time is the sum of three parts:
  - The "setup" to call the function and pass the parameters (we know this is  $\Theta(1)$ ).
  - The if block (which we now know that is  $\Theta(1)$ )
  - Two times the run time of the function for half the size (well, plus a Θ(1) for std::max to determine the higher of the two values)
- And what do we think the above is?
- Let's write the recurrence relation:

## Algorithm Analysis

• If we use T(n) to denote the run Time, we have:

$$T(n)=2T(n/2)+\Theta(1)$$

- This may look new (we had not seen recurrence relations involving Landau symbols), but it's really the same we've been doing:
  - The Landau symbol defines a family of functions:
     c·1 for any constant c.

#### **Algorithm Analysis**

• So, we can rewrite the relation as:

13.51

$$T(n) = 2T(n/2) + c \cdot 1$$

- And solve maintaining *c* as an unknown value, to obtain Θ(*n*).
- But intuitively, you should see it what function is twice the value of the function for half the value of the argument?

## Summary

- During today's class, we discussed:
  - Applying asymptotic analysis techniques to actual algorithms to figure out their run time.
  - Some of the common language constructs and their effect on the run time of algorithms
  - Analysis of recursive functions leading to recurrence relations.