

UNIVERSITY OF WATERLOO  
ECE 784 - STAT 902 Midterm Examination Winter 2011  
Instructor: Andrew Heunis  
2.30p.m. - 3.45 p.m. Thursday, 10 March, 2011

Answer all five questions. Point allocation for each question is shown. Total marks = 70.  
Aids permitted: printed class notes only. Duration of examination: 1 hour 15 minutes

1. [10]  $X$  is a random variable on the probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  and  $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{F}$  is a sub- $\sigma$ -algebra.  $X$  takes values in  $(0, \infty)$ , and is such that  $E[X] < \infty$  and  $E[X^{-\alpha}] < \infty$  for some constant  $\alpha \in [0, \infty)$ . Establish that

$$\frac{1}{(E[X | \mathcal{G}])^\alpha} \leq E \left[ \frac{1}{X^\alpha} \middle| \mathcal{G} \right].$$

2. [15]  $\{X_n, n = 1, 2, \dots\}$  is a sequence of nonnegative and uniformly bounded random variables on the probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  and  $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{F}$  is a sub- $\sigma$ -algebra (i.e.  $0 \leq X_n(\omega) \leq c$  for all  $\omega \in \Omega$  and  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , for some constant  $c \in [0, \infty)$ ). Establish

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} E[X_n | \mathcal{G}] \leq E \left[ \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} X_n \middle| \mathcal{G} \right].$$

3. [15]  $\{\mathcal{F}_n, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$  is a filtration in the probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ , and  $Q$  is a probability measure  $Q$  on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$  such that for each  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  one has

$$P(A) = Q(A), \quad \text{for all } A \in \mathcal{F}_n.$$

Establish that  $P(A) = Q(A)$  for all  $A \in \mathcal{F}_\infty$ .

Hint: Use Theorem 1.5.4 of the notes.

4. [10] The processes  $\{(X_n, \mathcal{F}_n); n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, +\infty\}$  and  $\{(Y_n, \mathcal{F}_n); n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, +\infty\}$  are **closed** square-integrable martingales on the probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  (see Definition 2.3.4 of the notes), and  $T$  is a  $\{\mathcal{F}_n\}$ -stopping time  $T$ . Show that

$$E[X_T Y_\infty] = E[X_T Y_T] = E[X_\infty Y_T].$$

Hint: Use Theorem 2.3.9 of the notes.

Go to next page.

5. [20]  $\{X_n, n = 1, 2, \dots\}$  is a sequence of independent random variables on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ . Put

$$\mathcal{G}_k = \sigma\{X_k, X_{k+1}, X_{k+2}, \dots\} \text{ for all } k = 1, 2, \dots, \text{ and } \mathcal{G} \triangleq \bigcap_{1 \leq k < \infty} \mathcal{G}_k,$$

$$\mathcal{F}_n = \sigma\{X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n\}, \text{ for all } n = 1, 2, \dots, \mathcal{F}_\infty = \sigma\{\cup_{1 \leq n < \infty} \mathcal{F}_n\}.$$

( $\mathcal{G}$  is called the “tail  $\sigma$ -algebra” of the sequence  $\{X_n\}$ ). Establish the following:

- (a)  $\mathcal{G}_k \subset \mathcal{F}_\infty, k = 1, 2, \dots$
- (b)  $\mathcal{F}_n$  and  $\mathcal{G}$  are  $P$ -independent for all  $n = 1, 2, \dots$
- (c) if  $Z$  is integrable and  $\mathcal{G}$ -measurable then  $Z = E[Z]$  a.s.

Hint: Use the Levy martingale convergence theorem (Theorem 2.7.6 of the notes) for (c).

1. Given

$$X : (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P) \longrightarrow (0, \infty)$$

s.t.

$$E[X] < \infty \quad \text{--- ①}$$

$$E\left[\frac{1}{X^\alpha}\right] < \infty \quad \text{for some } \alpha \in [0, \infty) \quad \text{--- ②}$$

Define

$$c : (0, \infty) \longrightarrow (0, \infty) \quad \text{by}$$

$$c(x) = \frac{1}{x^\alpha}, \quad x \in (0, \infty) \quad \text{--- ③}$$

Then  $c(\cdot)$  is clearly convex on  $I = (0, \infty)$  and

$$E[|c(X)|] \stackrel{\text{③}}{=} E\left[\frac{1}{X^\alpha}\right] < \infty \quad \text{--- ④}$$

From ① ④ and Thm 1.4.20

$$c\left(E[X | \mathcal{G}]\right) \leq E[c(X) | \mathcal{G}] \quad \text{--- ⑤}$$

From (5) (3)

$$\frac{1}{(E[x|y])^\alpha} \leq E \left[ \frac{1}{X^\alpha} \mid y \right] \quad \text{a.s.}$$

2. Given

$$0 \leq X_n(\omega) \leq c < \infty, \quad \text{all } \omega \in \Omega, \quad \text{all } n = 1, 2, 3 \quad \textcircled{1}$$

Then

$$c \geq c - X_n \geq 0 \quad \textcircled{2}$$

From  $\textcircled{2}$  and Thm 1.4.19 (b) [Factor for conditional expectation]

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} E [c - X_n | \mathcal{G}] &\leq c < \infty \quad \text{From } \textcircled{2} \\ &\geq E \left[ \underbrace{\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} (c - X_n)}_{\textcircled{3}} \mid \mathcal{G} \right] \quad \textcircled{3} \end{aligned}$$

For any sequence  $\{x_n\}$  of real numbers

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} (c - x_n) = c - \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n \quad \textcircled{4}$$

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} E [c - X_n | \mathcal{G}]$$

$$= \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} ( \underbrace{E [c | \mathcal{G}]}_{= c} - E [X_n | \mathcal{G}] )$$

$$\stackrel{\textcircled{4}}{=} c - \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} E [X_n | \mathcal{G}] \quad \text{---} \quad \textcircled{5}$$

and

$$E [ \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} (c - X_n) | \mathcal{G} ]$$

$$\stackrel{\textcircled{4}}{=} E [ c - \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} X_n | \mathcal{G} ]$$

$$= E [ c | \mathcal{G} ] - E [ \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} X_n | \mathcal{G} ]$$

$$= c - E [ \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} X_n | \mathcal{G} ] \quad \text{---} \quad \textcircled{6}$$

From (3) (5) (6)

$$c - \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} E[X_n | \mathcal{F}] \geq c - E[\limsup_n X_n | \mathcal{F}]$$

$$\text{or } \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} E[X_n | \mathcal{F}] \leq E[\limsup_n X_n | \mathcal{F}].$$

3.

$\{\mathcal{F}_n, n=0,1,2,\dots\}$  is a filtration in  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  ①

For all  $n=1,2,\dots$  have

$$P(A) = Q(A), \quad \text{all } A \in \mathcal{F}_n \quad \text{②}$$

Put

$$\mathcal{A} \stackrel{\Delta}{=} \{A \in \mathcal{F} : A \in \mathcal{F}_n \text{ for some } n=0,1,2,\dots\}$$

$$\equiv \bigcup_{0 \leq n < \infty} \mathcal{F}_n \quad \text{③}$$

Show

$$\mathcal{F}_\infty \stackrel{\Delta}{=} \sigma\{\mathcal{A}\} \quad \text{④}$$

Now show

$$\mathcal{A} \text{ is a } \pi\text{-class} \quad \text{⑤}$$

Fix  $A_1, A_2 \in \mathcal{A}$ : From ③ have



3.2

$$A_1 \in \mathcal{F}_{M_1} \quad \& \quad A_2 \in \mathcal{F}_{M_2}$$

for integers  $m_1, m_2 \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$  ①

Thus

$$A_1 \in \mathcal{F}_{m_3} \quad \& \quad A_2 \in \mathcal{F}_{m_3} \quad \text{for } m_3 \doteq \max(m_1, m_2)$$

└ ⑦

(since the  $\mathcal{F}_m$  are increasing with  $m$  - see ①)

Then

$$A_1 \cap A_2 \in \mathcal{F}_{m_3} \subseteq \mathcal{B} \quad \text{③}$$

$$\text{is } A_1 \cap A_2 \in \mathcal{B}$$

which gives ⑤.

Put

$$\mathcal{C} \doteq \{A \in \mathcal{F}_\infty : P(A) = Q(A)\} \quad \text{⑧}$$

Then

$$A \in \mathcal{B} \xRightarrow{\text{③}} A \in \mathcal{F}_m \quad \text{for some}$$

$$m \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$$

$$\Rightarrow P(A) = Q(A)$$

i.e.  $P(A) = Q(A)$  all  $A \in \mathcal{B}$  (7)

i  $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{C}$  (8) (9) (10)

Next show

$$\mathcal{C} \text{ is a } \sigma\text{-class over } \Omega \text{ (11)}$$

$$\text{Have } Q(\Omega) = 1 = P(\Omega) \text{ (12)}$$

( $P$  &  $Q$  are given probability measures)

i  $\Omega \in \mathcal{C}$  (12) (13)

Fix  $A \neq B \in \mathcal{C}$  with  $A \subset B$  (14)

Then  $B = A \cup (B-A)$  &  $A \cap (B-A) = \emptyset$  (15)

3.4

$$\text{ii} \quad P(B) \stackrel{(15)}{=} P(A) + P(B-A)$$

$$\text{ii} \quad P(B-A) = P(B) - P(A) \quad (16)$$

similarly

$$Q(B-A) = Q(B) - Q(A) \quad (17)$$

From (14) (8)

$$P(A) = Q(A) \quad P(B) = Q(B) \quad (18)$$

$$\text{ii} \quad P(B-A) \stackrel{(16)}{=} P(B) - P(A)$$

$$\stackrel{(18)}{=} Q(B) - Q(A)$$

$$\stackrel{(17)}{=} Q(B-A) \quad (19)$$

From (19)

$$B-A \in \mathcal{C} \quad \text{when } A, B \in \mathcal{C}$$

$$\text{with } A \subset B \quad (20)$$

Now fix

$$A_n \in \mathcal{C} \text{ s.t. } A_n \subseteq A_{n+1} \text{ + put } A \stackrel{\Delta}{=} \bigcup_{1 \leq n < \infty} A_n \quad (21)$$

Since  $P$  +  $\mathcal{Q}$  are countably additive on  $\mathcal{F}$ , see from (21) that

$$\left. \begin{aligned} P(A) &= \lim_n P(A_n) \quad (22) \\ \mathcal{Q}(A) &= \lim_n \mathcal{Q}(A_n) \quad (23) \end{aligned} \right\} \text{see thm. 1.2.5.}$$

Also

$$P(A_n) = \mathcal{Q}(A_n) \quad (24)$$

(from (8) with  $A_n \in \mathcal{C}$  - see (21))

From (22) (23) and (24):

$$\mathcal{Q}(A) = P(A) \quad (25)$$

From (21) and (25) have shown:

if  $A_n \in \mathcal{C}$  with  $A_n \subseteq A_{n+1}$

$$\text{then } \bigcup_{1 \leq n < \infty} A_n \in \mathcal{C} \quad (26)$$

From (2.6) (20) (13) get (11) (see Definition 1.5.2).

From (11) (10) (5) and Thm 1.5.4:

$$G \setminus \mathcal{D} \setminus \{ \} \subset \mathcal{C} \text{ ——— } (27)$$

$$\text{ii} \quad F_\infty \stackrel{(4)}{=} G \setminus \mathcal{D} \setminus \{ \} \subset \mathcal{C} \stackrel{(8)}{\subset} F_\infty \quad (27)$$

$$\text{ii} \quad F_\infty = \mathcal{C} \text{ ——— } (28)$$

Result follows from (28) and (8).

4. Fix the  $\{\mathcal{F}_n\}$ -stopping time  $T$ .

Since

$$\{(X_n, \mathcal{F}_n), n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, +\infty\}$$

$$\{(Y_n, \mathcal{F}_n), n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, +\infty\}$$

are closed martingales, Theorem 2.3.9 gives

$$X_T = E[X_\infty | \mathcal{F}_T] \quad Y_T = E[Y_\infty | \mathcal{F}_T] \quad \text{--- ①}$$

Also by Jensen for conditional expectation

$$|X_T|^2 \stackrel{\text{①}}{=} (E[X_\infty | \mathcal{F}_T])^2 \leq E[|X_\infty|^2 | \mathcal{F}_T] \quad \text{--- ②}$$

since postulated square-integrability gives

$$E[|X_\infty|^2] < \infty \quad \text{--- ③}$$

Then

$$E[|X_T|^2] \stackrel{\text{②}}{\leq} E[E[|X_\infty|^2 | \mathcal{F}_T]] = E[|X_\infty|^2] < \infty$$

└ ④

Similarly

$$E[|Y_T|^2] < \infty \quad \text{--- (5)}$$

(3) (4)

Then

$$E[|X_\infty Y_T|] \leq \sqrt{E[|X_\infty|^2]} \sqrt{E[|X_T|^2]} < \infty \quad \text{--- (6)}$$

↑ Cauchy-Schwarz

Similarly

$$E[|X_T Y_\infty|] < \infty \quad \text{--- (7)}$$

and

$$E[|X_T Y_T|] < \infty \quad \text{--- (8)}$$

From (6) (7) (8)

$E[X_\infty Y_T]$ ,  $E[X_T Y_\infty]$  and  $E[X_T Y_T]$  are defined

and exist in  $\mathbb{R}$  --- (9)

Then

$$E[X_T Y_\infty] \stackrel{(1)}{=} E[E[X_\infty | \mathcal{F}_T] Y_\infty]$$

$$= E \left\{ E \left[ E \left[ X_{\infty} \mid \mathcal{F}_T \right] Y_{\infty} \mid \mathcal{F}_T \right] \right\}$$

$\mathcal{F}_T$ -measurable

$$= E \left\{ E \left[ X_{\infty} \mid \mathcal{F}_T \right] \cdot E \left[ Y_{\infty} \mid \mathcal{F}_T \right] \right\}$$

$$\stackrel{\textcircled{1}}{=} E \left[ X_T Y_T \right] \quad \underline{\quad} \quad \textcircled{10}$$

Switching roles of  $X$  and  $Y$  at  $\textcircled{10}$ :

$$E \left[ X_{\infty} Y_T \right] = E \left[ X_T Y_T \right] \quad \underline{\quad} \quad \textcircled{11}$$

as required.



5. Given indep. r.v.  $X_n$ ,  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$  on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$

$$Y_k \stackrel{\Delta}{=} \sigma \{X_k, X_{k+1}, \dots\} \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

$$Y \stackrel{\Delta}{=} \bigcap_{1 \leq k < \infty} Y_k \quad \text{--- (2)}$$

$$F_n \stackrel{\Delta}{=} \sigma \{X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n\}, \quad n \geq 1 \quad \text{--- (3)}$$

$$F_\infty \stackrel{\Delta}{=} \sigma \left\{ \bigcup_{1 \leq n < \infty} F_n \right\} \quad \text{--- (4)}$$

(a) Fix some +ve integer  $k$ .

From (3) see that

$$X_k \text{ is } F_n\text{-meas for all } n \geq k \quad \text{--- (5)}$$

From (5) and  $F_n \subset F_\infty$  see that

$$X_k \text{ is } F_\infty\text{-meas for all } k \geq 1 \quad \text{--- (6)}$$

From (6)

$$\underbrace{\sigma\{X_k, X_{k+1}, \dots\}}_{\mathcal{G}_k} \subset \mathcal{F}_\infty$$

$$\text{i.e. } \mathcal{G}_k \subset \mathcal{F}_\infty, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots \quad (7)$$

(b) Since the sequence  $\{X_k, k = 1, 2, \dots\}$  is indep. we know that

$$\underbrace{\sigma\{X_1, \dots, X_m\}}_{\mathcal{F}_m} \perp\!\!\!\perp \underbrace{\sigma\{X_{m+1}, X_{m+2}, \dots\}}_{\mathcal{G}_{m+1}}$$

i.e.  $\mathcal{F}_m$  and  $\mathcal{G}_{m+1}$  are independent for all  $m \geq 1$  (8)

Since  $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{G}_{n+1}$ , it follows from (8) that  $\mathcal{F}_m$  and  $\mathcal{G}$  are indep. for all  $m \geq 1$  (9)

(c)  $Z$  is  $\mathcal{G}$ -meas s.t.  $E|Z| < \infty$  (10)

From Th 2.7.6

$$\lim_n E[Z | \mathcal{F}_n] = E[Z | \mathcal{F}_\infty] \quad (11)$$

From (2) (7) have

$$\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{F}_\infty \quad (12)$$

$Z_n$  vis of (12) and (10) have  $Z$  is  $\mathcal{F}_\infty$ -measurable (13)

$$E[Z | \mathcal{F}_\infty] \stackrel{(13)}{=} Z \quad (14)$$

In vis of (10) and (9) also have

$$\mathcal{G} \perp \mathcal{Z} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{F}_n \text{ are indep} \quad (15)$$

show

$$E[z(F_m)] \stackrel{\uparrow}{=} E[z] \quad \text{---} \quad (16)$$

see (15) and Thm 1.4.15

$$F_{mm} \quad (16) \quad (14) \quad (11)$$

$$E[z] = z \quad \text{a.s.}$$