Abstract—We propose a deep bilinear model for blind image quality assessment (BIQA) that handles both synthetic and authentic distortions. Our model consists of two convolutional neural networks (CNN), each of which specializes in one distortion scenario. For synthetic distortions, we pre-train a CNN to classify image distortion type and level, where we enjoy large-scale training data. For authentic distortions, we adopt a pre-trained CNN for image classification. The features from the two CNNs are pooled bilinearly into a unified representation for final quality prediction. We then fine-tune the entire model on target subject-rated databases using a variant of stochastic gradient descent. Extensive experiments demonstrate that the proposed model achieves superior performance on both synthetic and authentic databases. Furthermore, we verify the generalizability of our method on the Waterloo Exploration Database using the group maximum differentiation competition.
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I. INTRODUCTION

NOWADAYS, digital images are captured via various mobile cameras, compressed by conventional and advanced techniques [1], [2], transmitted through diverse communication channels [3], and stored on different devices. Each stage in the image processing pipeline could introduce unexpected distortions, leading to perceptual quality degradation. Therefore, image quality assessment (IQA) is of great importance to monitoring the quality of images and ensuring the reliability of image processing systems. It is essential to design accurate and efficient computational models to push IQA from laboratory research to real-world applications [4], [5]. Among all computational models, we are interested in no-reference or blind IQA (BIQA) methods [6] because the reference information is often unavailable (or may not exist) in many practical applications.

Previous knowledge-driven BIQA models typically adopt low-level features either hand-crafted [7] or learned [8] to characterize the level of deviations from statistical regularities of natural scenes. Until recently, there has been limited effort towards end-to-end optimized BIQA using deep convolutional neural networks (CNN) [9], [10], primarily due to the lack of sufficient ground truths such as the mean opinion scores (MOS) for training. A straightforward approach is to fine-tune a CNN pre-trained on ImageNet [11] for quality prediction [12]. The resulting model performs reasonably on the LIVE Challenge Database [13] (with authentic distortions), but does not stand out on the LIVE [14] and TID2013 [15] databases (with synthetic distortions). Another common strategy is patch-based training, where the patch-level ground truths are either inherited from image-level annotations [9] or approximated by full-reference IQA models [16]. This strategy is very effective at learning CNN-based models for synthetic distortions, but fails to handle authentic distortions due to the non-homogeneity of distortions and the absence of reference images. Other methods [10], [17] take advantage of synthetic degradation processes (e.g., distortion types) to find reasonable initializations for CNN-based models, but cannot be applied to authentic distortions either.

In this work, we aim for an end-to-end solution to BIQA that handles both synthetic and authentic distortions. We first learn two feature sets for the two distortion scenarios separately. For synthetic distortions, inspired by previous studies [10], [17], we construct a large-scale pre-training set based on the Waterloo Exploration Database [18] and the PASCAL VOC Database [19], where the images are synthesized with nine distortion types and two to five distortion levels. We take advantage of known distortion type and level information in the dataset and pre-train a CNN through a multi-class classification task. For authentic distortions, it is difficult to simulate the degradation processes due to their complexities [20]. Therefore, we opt for another CNN (VGG-16 [21]) pre-trained on ImageNet [11] that contains many realistic natural images of different perceptual quality. We model synthetic and authentic distortions as two-factor variations, and pool the two feature sets bilinearly [22] into a unified representation for final quality prediction. The resulting deep bilinear CNN (DB-CNN) is fine-tuned on target subject-rated databases using a variant of the stochastic gradient descent method. Extensive experimental results on five IQA databases demonstrate the effectiveness of DB-CNN for both synthetic and authentic distortions. Furthermore, through the group Maximum Differentiation (gMAD) competition [23], we find that DB-CNN is more robust than the most recent CNN-based BIQA models [10], [24].

II. RELATED WORK

In this section, we provide a review of recent CNN-based BIQA models. For a more detailed treatment of BIQA, we refer the interested readers to [6], [25].
Tang et al. [26] pre-trained a deep belief network with a radial basis function and fine-tuned it to predict image quality. Bianco et al. [27] investigated various design choices for CNN-based BIQA. They first adopted off-the-shelf CNN features to learn a quality evaluator using support vector regression (SVR). Alternatively, they fine-tuned the features in a multi-class classification setting followed by SVR. Their proposals are not end-to-end optimized and involve heavy manual parameter adjustments [27]. Kang et al. [9] trained a CNN using a large number of spatially normalized image patches. Later, they estimated image quality and distortion type simultaneously via a multi-task CNN [17]. Patch-based training may be problematic because due to the high non-stationarity of local image content and the intricate interactions between content and distortion [10], [12], local image quality is not always consistent with global image quality. Taking this problem into consideration, Bosse et al. [24] trained CNN models using two strategies: direct average of features from multiple patches and weighted average of patch quality scores according to their relative importance. Kim et al. [16] pre-trained a CNN model using numerous patches with proxy quality scores provided by a full-reference IQA model [28] and summarized the patch-level features using the mean and standard deviation statistics for fine-tuning. A closely related work to ours is MEON [10], a cascaded multi-task framework for BIQA. A distortion type identification network is first trained, for which large-scale training samples are readily available. Starting from the pre-trained early layers and the outputs of the distortion type identification network, a quality prediction network is trained subsequently. Compared with MEON, the proposed DB-CNN takes a step further by considering not only distortion type but also distortion level information, which results in better quality-aware initializations. In summary, the aforementioned methods partially address the training data shortage problem in the synthetic distortion scenario, but it is difficult to extend them to the authentic distortion scenario.

III. DB-CNN FOR BIQA

In this section, we first describe the construction of the pre-training set and the CNN architecture for synthetically distorted images. We then present the tailored VGG-16 network for authentically distorted images. Finally, we introduce the bilinear pooling module along with the fine-tuning procedure.

A. CNN for Synthetic Distortions

To take into account the enormous content variations in real-world images, we start with the Waterloo Exploration
Fig. 2. Illustration of the five new distortion types with increasing degradation levels from left to right. (a)-(e) Contrast stretching. (f)-(j) Pink noise. (k)-(o) Image color quantization with dithering. (p)-(q) Over-exposure. (r)-(s) Under-exposure.

Database [18] and the PASCAL VOC Database [19]. The former contains 4,744 pristine-quality images with four synthetic distortions, i.e., JPEG compression, JPEG2000 compression, Gaussian blur, and while Gaussian noise. The latter is a large database for object recognition, which contains 17,125 images of acceptable quality with 20 semantic classes. We merge the two databases to obtain 21,869 source images. In addition to the four distortion types mentioned above, we add five more—contrast stretching, pink noise, image quantization with color dithering, over-exposure, and under-exposure. We ensure that the added distortions dominate the perceived quality as some source images (especially in the PASCAL VOC Database) may not have perfect quality. Following [18], we synthesize images with five distortion levels except for over-exposure and under-exposure, where only two levels are generated [29]. Sample distorted images with various degradation levels are shown inFig. 1 and Fig. 2. As a result, the pre-training set contains 852,891 distorted images in total.

Due to the large scale of the pre-training set, it is impractical to carry out a full subjective experiment to obtain the MOS of each image. We take advantage of the distortion type and level information in the synthesis process, and pre-train a CNN to classify the distortion type and the degradation level. Compared to previous methods that exploit distortion type information only [10], [17], our pre-training strategy offers perceptually more meaningful initializations, leading to better local optimum (shown in Section IV-B5). Specifically, we form the ground truth as an M-class indicator vector with one entry activated to encode the underlying distortion type at specific distortion level. In our case, M = 39, which corresponds to seven distortion types with five levels and two distortion types with two levels.

Inspired by the VGG-16 network architecture [21], we design our CNN for synthetic distortions (S-CNN) with a similar structure subject to some modifications (see Fig. 3). In a nutshell, the input image is resized and cropped to 224 × 224 × 3. All convolutions have a kernel size of 3 × 3 with a stride of two to reduce the spatial resolution by half in both directions. We pad the feature activations with zeros when necessary before convolutions. The nonlinear activation function we adopt is the rectified linear unit (ReLU). The feature activations at the last convolution layer are globally averaged across spatial locations. We append three fully connected layers and the softmax layer at the end. Given N training tuples \( \{(X^{(1)}, p^{(1)}), \ldots, (X^{(N)}, p^{(N)})\} \) in a mini-batch, where \( X^{(i)} \) denotes the i-th input image and \( p^{(i)} \) is the ground-truth indicator vector, S-CNN produces the activations of the last fully connected layer \( y^{(i)} = [y_1^{(i)}, \ldots, y_M^{(i)}]^T \). Denoting the model parameters in S-CNN by \( W_s \), we define the softmax function as

\[
\hat{p}_k^{(i)}(X^{(i)}; W_s) = \frac{\exp \left( y_k^{(i)}(X^{(i)}; W_s) \right)}{\sum_{j=1}^{M} \exp \left( y_j^{(i)}(X^{(i)}; W_s) \right)},
\]
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\[
\begin{align*}
\ell_s(\{X^{(i)}; W_s\} &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{M} p_j \log P_j \left( X^{(i)}; W_s \right). \\
\ell_2 &\text{ is the predicted quality score by DB-CNN.}
\end{align*}
\]

B. CNN for Authentic Distortions
Unlike training S-CNN for synthetic distortions, it is dif-
ficult to obtain a large amount of relevant training data for
authentic distortions. Meanwhile, training a CNN from scratch
using a small number of samples often leads to overfitting.
Here we resort to VGG-16 [21] that has been pre-trained
photography rather than simulations, the VGG-16 feature rep-
relevant features for authentically distorted images. Since the
distortions in ImageNet occur as a natural consequence of
and to improve the classification performance [12].

C. DB-CNN by Bilinear Pooling
We consider bilinear pooling to combine S-CNN for
synthetic distortions and VGG-16 for authentic distortions into
a unified model. Bilinear models have been shown to be
effective in modeling two-factor variations, such as style and
content of images [30], location and appearance for fine-
grained recognition [22], spatial and temporal characteristics
for video analysis [31], and text and visual information for
question-answering [32]. We tackle the BIQA problem with a
similar philosophy, where synthetic and authentic distortions
are modeled as two-factor variations, resulting in a DB-CNN
model.

The structure of DB-CNN is presented in Fig. 4. We tailor
the pre-trained S-CNN and VGG-16 by discarding all layers
after the last convolution. Denote the representations from S-
CNN and VGG-16 by \(Y_1\) and \(Y_2\), which have sizes of \(h_1 \times w_1 \times d_1\) and \(h_2 \times w_2 \times d_2\), respectively. The bilinear pooling
of \(Y_1\) and \(Y_2\) requires \(h_1 \times w_1 = h_2 \times w_2\), which holds in our
case for an input image of arbitrary size because S-CNN and
VGG-16 share the same padding and downsampling routines.

\[
\begin{align*}
\ell_2 &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \| s_i - \hat{s}_i \|_2, \\
\hat{s}_i &\text{ is the MOS of the } i\text{-th image in a mini-batch and } \hat{s}_i \text{ is the predicted quality score by DB-CNN.}
\end{align*}
\]

IV. EXPERIMENTS
In this section, we first describe the experimental setups,
including the IQA databases, the evaluation protocols, the
performance criteria, and the implementation details of DB-
CNN. After that, we compare the performance of DB-CNN
with state-of-the-art BIQA models on individual databases and across databases. We also test the robustness of DB-CNN on the Waterloo Exploration Database using the discriminability and ranking consistency criteria [18], and the gMAD competition method. Finally, we conduct a series of ablation experiments to justify the rationality of DB-CNN.

A. Experimental Setups

1) IQA Databases: The main experiments are conducted on three singly distorted synthetic IQA databases, i.e., LIVE [14], CSIQ [35] and TID2013 [15], a multiply distorted synthetic dataset LIVE MD [36], and the authentic LIVE Challenge Database [13]. LIVE [14] contains 779 distorted images synthesized from 29 reference images with five distortion types—JPEG compression (JPEG), JPEG2000 compression (JP2K), Gaussian blur (GB), white Gaussian noise (WN), and fast fading error (FF) at seven to eight degradation levels. Difference MOS (DMOS) in the range of [0, 100] is collected for each image with a higher value indicating lower perceptual quality. CSIQ [35] is composed of 866 distorted images generated from 30 reference images, including six distortion types, i.e., JPEG, JP2K, GB, WN, contrast change (CG), and pink noise (PN) at three to five degradation levels. DMOS in the range of [0, 1] is provided as the ground truth. TID2013 [15] consists of 3,000 distorted images from 25 reference images with 24 distortion types at five degradation levels. MOS in the range of [0, 9] is provided to indicate perceptual quality. LIVE MD [36] contains 450 images generated from 15 source images under two multiple distortion scenarios—blur followed by JPEG compression and blur followed by white Gaussian noise. DMOS in the range of [0, 100] is provided as the subjective opinion. LIVE Challenge [13] is an authentic IQA database, which contains 1,162 images captured from diverse real-world scenes by numerous photographers with various levels of photography skills using different camera devices. As a result, the images undergo complex realistic distortions. MOS in the range of [0, 100] is collected from over 8,100 unique human evaluators via an online crowdsourcing platform.

2) Experimental Protocols and Performance Criteria: We conduct the experiments by following the same protocol in [12]. Specifically, we divide the distorted images in a target IQA database into two splits, 80% of which are used for fine-tuning DB-CNN and the rest 20% for testing. For synthetic databases LIVE, CSIQ, TID2013, and LIVE MD, we guarantee the image content independence between the fine-tuning and test sets. The splitting procedure is randomly repeated ten times for all databases and the average results are reported.

We adopt two commonly used metrics to benchmark BIQA models: Spearman rank correlation coefficient (SRCC) and Pearson linear correlation coefficient (PLCC). SRCC measures the prediction monotonicity while PLCC measures the prediction precision. As suggested in [37], the predicted quality scores are passed through a nonlinear logistic function before computing PLCC

\[ \hat{s} = \beta_1 \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\exp(\beta_2(s - \beta_3))}\right) + \beta_4 \hat{s} + \beta_5, \]

where \{\beta_i; i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5\} are regression parameters to be fitted.

3) Implementation Details: All parameters in S-CNN are initialized by He’s method [38] and trained from scratch using Adam [39] with a mini-batch of 64. We run 30 epochs with a learning rate decaying logarithmically from $10^{-3}$ to $10^{-5}$. Images are first scaled to $256 \times 256$ and cropped to $224 \times 224 \times 3$ as inputs. During fine-tuning of DB-CNN, we adopt Adam [39] again with a learning rate of $10^{-6}$ for LIVE [14] and CSIQ [35], and $10^{-5}$ for TID2013 [15], LIVE MD [36] and LIVE Challenge [13], respectively. The minibatch size is set to eight. Batch normalization [40] is used to stabilize the pre-training and fine-tuning. We feed images of original size to DB-CNN during both fine-tuning and test phases. We implement DB-CNN using the MatConvNet toolbox [41] and will release the code at https://github.com/zwx8981/BIQA_project.

B. Experimental Results

1) Performance on Individual Databases: We compare DB-CNN against several state-of-the-art BIQA models. The source codes of BRISQUE [7], M3 [42], FRIQUEE [20], CORNIA [8], HOSA [43], and diPIQ [25] are provided by the respective authors. We re-train and/or validate them using the same randomly generated training-test splits. For CNN-based counterparts, we directly copy the performance from

Fig. 4. The structure of the proposed DB-CNN.
A2) Performance on Individual Distortion Types: To take a closer look at the behaviors of DB-CNN on individual distortion types along with several competing BIQA models, we test them on a specific distortion type and show the results on LIVE [14], CSIQ [35], and TID2013 [15] in Tables II, III, and IV, respectively. We find that DB-CNN is among the top two performing models 34 out of 46 times. Specifically, on CSIQ, DB-CNN outperforms other counterparts by a large margin, especially for pink noise and contrast change, validating the effectiveness of pre-training in DB-CNN. Although we do not synthesize as many distortion types as in TID2013, we find that DB-CNN performs well on unseen distortion types that exhibit similar artifacts in our pre-training set. As shown in Fig. 5, grainy noise exists in images distorted by two performing models and IV, respectively. We find that DB-CNN is among the top two performing models 34 out of 46 times. Specifically, on CSIQ, DB-CNN outperforms other counterparts by a large margin, especially for pink noise and contrast change, validating the effectiveness of pre-training in DB-CNN. Although we do not synthesize as many distortion types as in TID2013, we find that DB-CNN performs well on unseen distortion types that exhibit similar artifacts in our pre-training set. As shown in Fig. 5, grainy noise exists in images distorted by additive Gaussian noise, additive noise in color components, and high frequency noise; Gaussian blur, image denoising, and sparse sampling and reconstruction mainly introduce blur; image color quantization with dither and quantization noise also share similar appearances. Trained on synthesized images with additive Gaussian noise, Gaussian blur, and image color quantization with dither, DB-CNN generalizes well to unseen distortions with similar perceived artifacts. In addition, all BIQA models fail in three distortion types on TID2013, i.e., eccentricity pattern noise, local block-wise distortions, and mean shift, whose characteristics are difficult to model.

**TABLE I**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BRISQUE [7]</td>
<td>0.939</td>
<td>0.746</td>
<td>0.604</td>
<td>0.886</td>
<td>0.608</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M3 [42]</td>
<td>0.957</td>
<td>0.795</td>
<td>0.689</td>
<td>0.892</td>
<td>0.607</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRIQUEE [20]</td>
<td>0.904</td>
<td>0.835</td>
<td>0.680</td>
<td>0.923</td>
<td>0.682</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CORNIA [8]</td>
<td>0.947</td>
<td>0.678</td>
<td>0.678</td>
<td>0.899</td>
<td>0.629</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOSA [43]</td>
<td>0.946</td>
<td>0.741</td>
<td>0.735</td>
<td>0.913</td>
<td>0.640</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Le-CNN [9]</td>
<td>0.956</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BIECON [16]</td>
<td>0.961</td>
<td>0.815</td>
<td>0.717</td>
<td>0.909</td>
<td>0.595</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIoQ [24]</td>
<td>0.950</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WaDiQAM [24]</td>
<td>0.954</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ResNet-f [12]</td>
<td>0.950</td>
<td>0.876</td>
<td>0.712</td>
<td>0.909</td>
<td>0.819</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IW-CNN [12]</td>
<td>0.963</td>
<td>0.812</td>
<td>0.800</td>
<td>0.914</td>
<td>0.663</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DB-CNN</td>
<td><strong>0.968</strong></td>
<td>0.946</td>
<td>0.816</td>
<td><strong>0.927</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.851</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE II**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SRCC</th>
<th>JPEG</th>
<th>JP2K</th>
<th>WN</th>
<th>GB</th>
<th>FF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BRISQUE [7]</td>
<td>0.965</td>
<td>0.929</td>
<td>0.982</td>
<td><strong>0.964</strong></td>
<td>0.828</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M3 [42]</td>
<td>0.966</td>
<td>0.930</td>
<td>0.986</td>
<td>0.935</td>
<td>0.902</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRIQUEE [20]</td>
<td>0.947</td>
<td>0.919</td>
<td>0.983</td>
<td>0.937</td>
<td>0.884</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CORNIA [8]</td>
<td>0.947</td>
<td>0.924</td>
<td>0.958</td>
<td>0.951</td>
<td>0.921</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOSA [43]</td>
<td>0.954</td>
<td>0.935</td>
<td>0.975</td>
<td><strong>0.954</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.954</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dipIQ [25]</td>
<td><strong>0.969</strong></td>
<td>0.956</td>
<td>0.975</td>
<td>0.940</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DB-CNN</td>
<td><strong>0.972</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.955</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.980</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.935</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.930</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE III**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SRCC</th>
<th>JPEG</th>
<th>JP2K</th>
<th>WN</th>
<th>GB</th>
<th>PN</th>
<th>CC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BRISQUE [7]</td>
<td>0.806</td>
<td>0.840</td>
<td>0.723</td>
<td>0.820</td>
<td>0.378</td>
<td>0.804</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M3 [42]</td>
<td>0.740</td>
<td>0.911</td>
<td>0.741</td>
<td>0.868</td>
<td>0.663</td>
<td>0.770</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRIQUEE [20]</td>
<td>0.869</td>
<td>0.846</td>
<td>0.748</td>
<td>0.870</td>
<td>0.753</td>
<td>0.838</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CORNIA [8]</td>
<td>0.513</td>
<td>0.831</td>
<td>0.664</td>
<td>0.836</td>
<td>0.493</td>
<td>0.462</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOSA [43]</td>
<td>0.733</td>
<td>0.818</td>
<td>0.604</td>
<td>0.841</td>
<td>0.500</td>
<td>0.716</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dipIQ [25]</td>
<td>0.936</td>
<td>0.944</td>
<td>0.904</td>
<td>0.932</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEON [10]</td>
<td>0.948</td>
<td>0.898</td>
<td>0.951</td>
<td>0.918</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DB-CNN</td>
<td><strong>0.940</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.953</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.948</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.947</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.940</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.870</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For the corresponding papers due to the unavailability of the training codes. The SRCC and PLCC results on the five databases are listed in Table I, from which we have several interesting observations. First, while all competing models achieve comparable performance on LIVE [14], their results on CSIQ [35] and TID2013 [15] are rather diverse. Compared with knowledge-driven models, CNN-based models deliver better performance on CSIQ and TID2013 because of end-to-end feature learning rather than hand-crafted feature engineering. Second, on the multiply distorted dataset LIVE MD, DB-CNN performs favorably although it does not include multiply distorted images for pre-training, indicating that DB-CNN generalizes well to slightly different distortion scenarios. Last, for the authentic database LIVE Challenge, FRIQUEE [20] that combines a set of quality-aware features extracted from multiple color spaces outperforms other knowledge-driven BIQA models and all CNN-based models except for ResNet-ft [12] and the proposed DB-CNN. This suggests that the intrinsic characteristics of authentic distortions cannot be fully captured by low-level features learned from synthetically distorted images. The success of DB-CNN on LIVE Challenge verifies the relevance between the high-level features from VGG-16 and the authentic distortions. In summary, DB-CNN achieves superior performance on both synthetic and authentic IQA databases.
3) **Performance across Different Databases:** In this subsection, we evaluate DB-CNN in a cross-database setting against knowledge-driven and CNN-based models. We train knowledge-driven models on one database and test them on the other databases. The results of CNN-based counterparts are reported if available from the original papers. We show the SRCC results in Table V, where we see that models trained on LIVE are much easier to generalize to CSIQ and vice versa than other cross-database pairs. When trained on TID2013 and tested on the other two synthetic databases, DB-CNN significantly outperforms the rest models. However, it is evident that models trained on synthetic databases do not generalize to the authentic LIVE Challenge Database. Despite this, DB-CNN still achieves higher prediction accuracies under such a challenging experimental setup.

4) **Results on the Waterloo Exploration Database [18]:** Although SRCC and PLCC have been widely used as the performance criteria in IQA research, they cannot be applied to arbitrarily large databases due to the absence of the ground truths. Three testing criteria are introduced along with the WaDIQaM [24] in discriminating distorted images from pristine ones. L-Test measures the listwise ranking consistency of BIQA models when rating images with the same content and distortion type but different degradation levels. P-Test measures the pairwise preference consistency test (P-Test). D-Test measures the capability of BIQA models in discriminating distorted images from pristine ones. L-Test measures the listwise ranking consistency of BIQA models against knowledge-driven and CNN-based models when rating images with the same content and distortion type but different degradation levels. P-Test measures the
pairwise concordance of BIQA models on image pairs with clearly discriminable perceptual quality. More details of the three criteria can be found in [18]. Here we use them to test the robustness of DB-CNN on the Waterloo Exploration Database. To ensure the independence of image content during training and testing, we re-train the S-CNN stream in DB-CNN using the distorted images generated from the PASCAL VOC Database only. Experimental results are tabulated in Table VI, where we observe that DB-CNN is competitive in all the three tests.

We further let CNN-based BIQA models play the g-MAD competition game [23] on the Waterloo Exploration Database [18]. gMAD extends the idea of the MAD competition [44] and allows a group of IQA models to be falsified in the most efficient way by letting them compete on a large-scale database with no human annotations. A small number of extremal image pairs are generated automatically by maximizing the responses of the attacker model while fixing the defender model. In Fig. 6, DB-CNN first plays the attacker role and deepIQA [24] acts as the defender. deepIQA [24] considers pairs (a) and (b) to have the same perceptual quality at the low- and high-quality level, respectively, which is in disagreement with human perception. By contrast, DB-CNN correctly predicts the better quality of the top images in pairs (a) and (b). We then switch the roles of DB-CNN and deepIQA to obtain pairs (c) and (d). deepIQA fails to falsify DB-CNN,

Fig. 5. Images with different distortion types may share similar visual appearances. (a) Additive Gaussian noise. (b) Additive noise in color components. (c) High frequency noise. (d) Gaussian blur. (e) Image denoising. (f) Sparse sampling and reconstruction. (g) Image color quantization with dither. (h) Quantization noise.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>D-Test</th>
<th>L-Test</th>
<th>P-Test</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BRISQUE [7]</td>
<td>0.9204</td>
<td>0.9772</td>
<td>0.9930</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M3 [42]</td>
<td>0.9203</td>
<td>0.9106</td>
<td>0.9748</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CORNIA [8]</td>
<td>0.9290</td>
<td>0.9764</td>
<td>0.9947</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOSA [43]</td>
<td>0.9175</td>
<td>0.9647</td>
<td>0.9983</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>diplIQ [25]</td>
<td>0.9346</td>
<td>0.9846</td>
<td>0.9999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>deepIQA [24]</td>
<td>0.9074</td>
<td>0.9467</td>
<td>0.9628</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEON [10]</td>
<td>0.9384</td>
<td>0.9669</td>
<td>0.9984</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DB-CNN</td>
<td>0.9616</td>
<td>0.9614</td>
<td>0.9992</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
where the two images in one extremal image pair indeed exhibit similar quality. Furthermore, we let DB-CNN fight against MEON [10] and show four extremal image pairs in Fig. 7. From pairs (a) and (c), we find that both DB-CNN and MEON successfully defend the attack from the other model at the low-quality level. As for the high-quality level, DB-CNN shows slightly advantage by finding the counterexample of MEON in pair (b). This reveals that MEON does not handle JPEG compression well enough, especially when the image contains few structures. MEON also finds a counterexample of DB-CNN in pair (d), where the bottom image is blurrier than the top one. Through gMAD, there is no clear winner between DB-CNN and MEON, but we identify the weaknesses of the two models.

5) Ablation Experiments: In order to evaluate the design rationality of DB-CNN, we conduct several ablation experiments with the setups and protocols following Section IV-A. We first work with a baseline version, where only one stream (either S-CNN or VGG-16) is included. The bilinear pooling is kept, which turns out to be the outer-product of the activations from the last convolution layer with themselves. We then replace the bilinear pooling module with a simple feature concatenation and ensure that the last fully connected layer has approximately the same parameters as in DB-CNN. From Table VII, we observe that S-CNN and VGG-16 can only deliver promising performance on synthetic and authentic databases, respectively. By contrast, DB-CNN is capable of handling both synthetic and authentic distortions. We also train two DB-CNN models, one from scratch and the other using...
the distortion type information only during pre-training S-CNN, to validate the necessity of the pre-training stages. From the table, we observe that with perceptually more meaningful initializations, DB-CNN achieves better performance.

V. CONCLUSION

We propose a CNN-based BIQA model for both synthetic and authentic distortions by conceptually modeling them as two-factor variations. DB-CNN demonstrates superior performance, which we believe arises from the two-stream architecture for distortion modeling, pre-training for better initializations, and bilinear pooling for feature combination. Through the validations across different databases, the experiments on the Waterloo Exploration Database, and the results from the gMAD competition, we have shown the scalability, generalizability, and robustness of the proposed DB-CNN model.

DB-CNN is versatile and extensible. For example, more distortion types and levels can be added to the pre-training set; more sophisticated designs of S-CNN and more powerful CNNs such as ResNet [33] can be utilized. One may also improve DB-CNN by considering other variants of bilinear pooling [45].

The current work deals with synthetic and authentic distortions separately by fine-tuning DB-CNN on either synthetic or authentic databases. How to extend DB-CNN towards a more unified BIQA model, especially in the early feature extraction stage, is an interesting direction yet to be explored.
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