ECE 604- PSET 2 Solution

1. 1) By definition $g(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_n z^n$ where $p_n = P(X = n)$. Therefore for $z \in [0, 1]$ we have: $g'(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n p_n z^{n-1} \ge 0$ and is therefore non-decreasing and

$$g''(z) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n-1)z^{n-2}p_n \ge 0$$

and is therefore convex.

- 2) For g'(z) to be 0 for some $z \in [0,1]$ we need $p_n = 0 \ \forall n \ge 1$ or P(X = 0) = 1. Similarly for g''(z) = 0 we need $p_n = 0 \ \forall n \ge 2$ or $p_0 + p_1 = 1$. Therefore if $0 < p_0 < 1 \ g'(z) > 0$ for $z \in [0,1]$ and hence g(z) is strictly increasing and if $p(X > 2) > 0 \leftrightarrow p_0 + p_1 < 1$ then g''(z) > 0 or g(z) is strictly convex.
- 3) Now E[x] = g'(1). Therefore if $g'(1) \le 1$ we see that the curve g(z) has a slope less than that of z which is 1. Noting that $g(0) = p_0$ and $g(1) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_n = 1$ we see that the two curves y = z and y = g(z) only intersect at z=1 since g(z) is convex increasing. On the other hand if E[X] > 1 then necessarily g'(1) > 1. If $p_0 > 0$ then the two curves must intersect at z = 1 and some $z \in (0, 1)$. Note if $p_0 = 0$ then the curves intersect at z = 0and z = 1 since g(z) is strictly convex.
- 2. Let $Y_n = \max\{X 1, X_2, \dots, X_n\}$ where the $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^n$ are i.i.d.. Then

$$\mathbb{P}(Y_n \le x) = F_{Y_n}(x) = \mathbb{P}\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^n (X_i \le x)\right)$$
$$= (F(x))^n$$

Similarly:

$$\mathbb{P}(Z_n > x) = 1 - F_{Z_n}(x) = \mathbb{P}\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^n (X_i > x)\right)$$
$$= (1 - F(x))^n$$

Now let $\psi_n = Y_n - Z_n \ge 0$. There are at least two ways of solving this problem. First way:

$$F_{\psi}(z) = \mathbb{P}(\psi_n \le z) = \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^\infty \mathbb{P}(Y_n - Z_n | Z_n = X_i = x) dF_{X_i}(x)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{P}(\bigcap_{j=1, j \ne i}^n (x \le X_j \le x + z)) dF(x)$$

$$= n \int_{-\infty}^\infty (F_X(x+z) - F_X(x))^{n-1} dF(x)$$

$$= n \int_{-\infty}^\infty (F(x+z) - F(x))^{n-1} dF(x)$$

The second is we integrate out w.r.t. the distribution of Z_n . Note $dF_{Z_n}(x) = n(1 - F(x))^{n-1}dF(x)$.

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\psi}(z) &= \mathbb{P}(\psi_n \le z) &= \mathbb{P}(Y_n - Z_n \le z) \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(Y_n \le Z_n + z | Z_n = x) dF_{Z_n}(x) \\ &= n \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(Y_n \le x + z) | Z_n = x) (1 - F(x))^{n-1} dF(x) \\ &= n \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}\left(\bigcap_{j=1}^{n-1} (X_j \le x + z) \mid \bigcap_{j=1}^{n-1} (X_j > x)\right) (1 - F(x)^{n-1} dF(x) \\ &= n \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{(F(x+z) - F(x))^{n-1}}{(1 - F(x))^{n-1}} (1 - F(x))^{n-1} dF(x) \\ &= n \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (F(x+z) - F(x))^{n-1} dF(x) \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the fact that if the minimum is x then the remaining (n-1) random variables must be larger than x. In other words, given that $Z_n = x$ changes the distribution of the max Y_n since Y_n must be the max of the remaining (n-1) random variables and each of them must be larger than x.

- 3. This problem is trivial since the random variables are independent and identically distributed. By symmetry: E[X|X + Y] = E[Y|X + Y] and E[X + Y|X + Y] = X + Y. The second result follows from the fact that $E[X_i|S_n] = E[X_j|S_n]$ and therefore $nE[X_i | S_n] = E[S_n|S_n] = S_n$.
- 4. $X \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$ and $Y \in \{-1, 1\}$ with $\mathbb{P}(Y = 1) = p = 1 \mathbb{P}(Y + -1)$.
 - (a) Z = X + Y. Then the characteristic function of Z is given by: $C_Z(h) = E[e^{jhZ}]$. Now given Y = 1, $Z \sim N(1, \sigma^2)$ and given Y = -1 $Z \sim N(-1, \sigma^2)$. Therefore:

$$p_Z(z) = p \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma}} e^{-\frac{(z-1)^2}{2\sigma^2}} + (1-p) \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma}} e^{-\frac{(z+1)^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$

and

$$C_Z(h) = p e^{jh - \frac{1}{2}h^2 \sigma^2} + (1 - p)e^{-jh - \frac{1}{2}h^2 \sigma^2}$$

= $e^{-\frac{h^2}{2}\sigma^2} (p(e^{jh} - e^{-jh}) + e^{-jh})$
= $e^{-\frac{h^2}{2}\sigma^2} (\cos h + j(2p - 1)\sin h)$

(b) W=XY

Let us compute the characteristic function of W

$$C_W(h) = C_X(h)p + (1-p)C_{-X}(h)$$

= $C_X(h)$

since $C_X(h) = C_{-X}(h)$ as $X = N(0, \sigma^2)$. This is only true because E[X] = 0. Therefore W is Gaussian with the same distribution as X. (c) Now let U = W + X. We know that X and W are individually Gaussian but we do not know if they are jointly Gaussian. It is clear by observation that W and X are dependent. To compute the distribution of U we have:

$$F_U(z) = \mathbb{P}(U \le z) = \mathbb{P}(X(Y+1) \le z)$$

= $\mathbb{P}(X \le \frac{z}{2} | Y = 1)p + (1-p) = \mathbb{P}(X \le \frac{z}{2})p + 1 - p \ z \ge 0$
= $p\mathbb{P}(X \le \frac{z}{2}) \ z < 0$

which is clearly not a Gaussian distribution This shows that unless we specify the joint distribution, the sum of two Gaussians need not be Gaussian.

Now: E[W] = 0 and $var(W) = \sigma^2$. and

$$cov(WX) = E(WX) = E[X^2Y] = \sigma^2(2p-1)$$

If p = 0.5 they would be uncorrelated but not independent because they are not jointly Gaussian. In general, if $p \neq 0.5$ they are correlated and not independent.

5. First note that:

$$Z_t = X\cos(2\pi t + \theta) = \cos 2\pi t X \cos \theta - \sin 2\pi X \sin \theta$$

where for eact t, $\cos 2\pi t$ and $\sin 2\pi t$ are just non-random constants. If one defines $X_1 = X \cos \theta$ and $X_2 = X \sin \theta$ then by a simple Jacobian calculation it can be seen X_1 and X_2 are independent Gaussian random variables. Therefore Z_t is a Gaussian r.v. for every t being a linear combination of independent Gaussian r.v's.

Now the joint distribution of $\{Z_{t_1}, Z_{t_2}\}$ can be computed as follows (by taking the moment generating function) $E[e^{h_1 Z_{t_1} + h_2 z_{t_2}}]$ and noting that:

$$Z = aZ_{t_1} + bZ_{t_2}$$

= $aX\cos(2\pi t_1 + \theta) + bX\cos(2\pi t_2 + \theta)$
= $(a\cos 2\pi t_1 + b\cos 2\pi t_2)X\cos\theta - (a\sin 2\pi t_1 + b\sin 2\pi t_2)X\sin\theta$

showing Z is Gaussian for any a and b from the fact that $X \cos \theta$ and $X \sin \theta$ are independent Gaussians. Therefore since h_1 and h_2 are arbitrary, the r.v. in the exponent is a Gaussian and one readily can obtain the conclusion that they are jointly Gaussian.

Indeed one can show it is a Gaussian stochastic process because all finite combinations will be Gaussian.

6. Let us first compute the characteristic function of a Poisson r.v.

$$C(h) = E[e^{jhX}] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{jhn} \mathbb{P}(X = n)$$
$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{jhn} \frac{\lambda^n}{n!} e^{-\lambda}$$
$$= e^{-\lambda(1-e^{jh})}$$

Now $Y = \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i$ where $X_i \sim Poisson(\lambda_i)$ and the $X'_i s$ are independent.

Therefore:

$$C_Y(h) = \prod_{i=1}^n C_{X_i}(h) = \prod_{i=1}^n e^{-\lambda_i(1-e^{jh})} = e^{-\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i(1-e^{jh})}$$

where the last expression is just the characteristic function of a Poisson r.v. with parameter $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i$.

7. Advanced problem 1.

Let Z = X + Y and X and Y are independent. We want to show that if Z is Gaussian then X and Y are also Gaussian. Indeed let us see the simple case: X and Y are identically distributed. Suppose $Z \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$. then by definition:

$$CZ(h) = C_X(h)C_Y(h) = (C_X(h))^2$$

Therefore the characteristic function of X is just $e^{-\frac{\sigma^2}{4}h^2}$ showing $X \sim N(0, \frac{\sigma^2}{2})$. Of course they need not be identical and so we need to show it more generally.

We show the result via the following idea of stable distributions.

Lemma

Let X and Y be 0 mean variance 1 i.i.d random variables.

Suppose there exist a,b. and c and a random variable Z such that:

$$aX + bY = cZ$$

where Z has the same distribution of X and Y..

Then Z must be N(0,1).

Proof:

 $E[e^{jhcX}] = C(ch)$ where $C(h) = E[e^{jhX}]$. Now from independence of X and Y we have $c^2 = a^2 + b^2$ and C(ch) = C(ah)C(bh). Define $\phi(x) = \log C(x)$.

Then:

$$\phi(ch) = \phi(ah) + \phi(bh) = \phi(ah + bh)$$

Noting that $c^2 = a^2 + b^2$ we have

$$\phi(x+y) = \phi(x) + \phi(y) = \phi(\sqrt{x+y})$$

The only solution of this equation is of the form:

$$C(x) = Ke^{dx^2}$$

Noting C(0) = 1 and C''(0) = -1 we obtain K = 1 and $d = \frac{1}{2}$ showing that C(h) is the characteristic function of a standard normal random variable.

This thus allows us to conclude that if Z is Gaussian and X and Y are independent then X and Y must be Gaussian by this stability result where $c^2 = var(Z)$ and $var(X) = a^2$, $var(Y) = b^2$ with $c^2 = a^2 + B^2$. or Z = aX + bY where X and Y are independent N(0, 1) random variables.

8. Advanced problem 2

To show that if f(.) is a "nice" function then

$$E[f'(X) - Xf(X)] = 0 \leftrightarrow X \sim N(0, 1)$$

Let us show the sufficiency part. Let X be standard normal. Let $E_N[.]$ denote expectation w.r.t. the standard normal. Then

$$E_N[f'(X) - Xf(X)] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (f'(x) - xf(x))e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} dx$$

Integrate by parts the first term on the rhs above.

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f'(x)e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} xf(x)e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}dx$$

hence the result follows.

Without loss of generality let us assume that X has a density p(x). Then we obtain:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (f'(x) - xf(x))p(x) = 0 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x)(p'(x) + xp(x))dx$$

Since f(.) is arbitrary it implies that p'(x) + xp(x) = 0 or

$$p(x) = ce^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}$$

From the normalization condition we obtain $c = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}$. or p(x) is the density of a N(0, 1) r.v. To show that there exists a function f(x) such that:

$$h(x) - E_N[h(X)] = f'(x) - xf(x)$$

one can readily see that if we define:

$$f(x) = e^{\frac{x^2}{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{x} (h(y) - E_N[h]) e^{-\frac{y^2}{2}} dy$$

then f(.) will satisfy:

$$E_N[f'(X) - Xf(X)] = 0$$