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ABSTRACT

This article proposes a software defined space-air-ground integrated network architecture for supporting diverse vehicular services in a seamless, efficient, and cost-effective manner. First, the motivations and challenges for integration of space-air-ground networks are reviewed. Second, a software defined network architecture with a layered structure is presented. To protect the legacy services in the satellite, aerial, and terrestrial segments, resources in each segment are sliced through network slicing to achieve service isolation. Then available resources are put into a common and dynamic space-air-ground resource pool, which is managed by hierarchical controllers to accommodate vehicular services. Finally, a case study is carried out, followed by discussion on some open research topics.

INTRODUCTION

The connected vehicle paradigm is to empower vehicles to communicate with the surrounding environment such as neighboring cars, roadside infrastructure, and traffic control centers, playing a vital role in the next generation intelligent transportation system (ITS). With connected vehicles, a wide range of on-the-go services can be facilitated, including road safety (e.g., collision avoidance and intelligent traffic management), infotainment (e.g., social networking and online gaming), and location-dependent services (e.g., points of interest and route optimization) [1–3]. With its great potential, the connected vehicle is regarded as the next frontier for automotive revolution, and the number of connected vehicles is predicted to reach 250 million by 2020.1 Extensive research and development efforts have been made from both industry and academia to get vehicles connected. The main enabling platforms include dedicated short-range communications (DSRC)-based 802.11p networks and cellular networks [14]. The former facilitates both DSRC-based 802.11p networks and cellular networks (V2R) communications, while the latter, such as Long-Term Evolution (LTE), can provide reliable access to the Internet. However, for 802.11p networks, it is costly and will take a long time to deploy large-scale network infrastructure such as roadside units (RSUs). Although the LTE network can exploit the existing infrastructure, it faces the issues of coverage and capacity. For instance, the LTE network has poor coverage in rural areas, while having potential network congestion in urban areas due to ever increasing wireless devices and services [5]. Furthermore, both 802.11p and cellular networks have great challenges to support high mobility, and highly mobile vehicles can suffer from frequent handovers to associate with new RSUs and base stations as the networks become even denser.

In addition to terrestrial networks, other complementary solutions, such as satellite and aerial networks, are under development. For example, the Intelsat satellite antenna (i.e., mTenna) can be embedded into the roof of a car to acquire satellite signals. Toyota’s Mirai Research Vehicle equipped with mTenna can provide on-the-move services, which is demonstrated to achieve a data rate of 50 Mb/s. In addition, Tesla plans to launch 700 low-cost commercial satellites to provide Internet access, while Google will launch a fleet of 180 satellites.2 In parallel, high-altitude platforms (HAPs) can also provide Internet access to vehicles [6]. As a matter of fact, Project Loon initiated by Google aims to leverage high-altitude balloons for broadband services in remote locations, and Facebook is attempting to deploy solar-powered drones to provide Internet access to underdeveloped areas.

To accommodate the diverse vehicular services with different quality of service (QoS) requirements in various practical scenarios (e.g., rural and urban), it is imperative to exploit specific advantages of each networking paradigm. For instance, densely deployed terrestrial networks in urban areas can support high data rate access, satellite communication systems can provide seamless connectivity to rural areas, while high altitude platforms (HAPs) can enhance the capacity for areas with high service demands. In addition, multi-dimensional real-time context-aware information regarding vehicular environments, such as in-vehicle, inter-vehicle, road conditions, and regional transport information, can be acquired to improve driving experience and facilitate intelligent traffic management. In
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From a service perspective, different QoS requirements imposed by diverse services should be satisfied in various vehicular networking scenarios in a cost-effective and flexible manner. However, the standalone territorial network has many challenges to meet such needs.

### Space-Air-Ground Integrated Vehicular Network: Motivations and Challenges

#### Background
A satellite network is composed of a number of satellites, ground stations (GSs), and network operations control centers (NOCCs), and usually provides services for navigation, Earth observation, emergency rescue, and communication/relaying. Based on the altitude, satellites can be categorized into geostationary orbit (GSO), medium Earth orbit (MEO), and low Earth orbit (LEO) satellites. Satellite networks have the advantages of:

- **Large coverage** (3 GSO satellites or a constellation of LEO satellites, e.g., Iridium composed of 77 LEO satellites can provide the global coverage)
- **Broadcasting/multicasting capability to support a large number of users simultaneously**
- **Reliable access for extreme scenarios such as in disaster relief**

In addition, broadband satellite systems are expected to have capacity of 1000 Gb/s by 2020 [8]. However, the round-trip delay, especially with GSO and MEO satellites, is relatively large, which is not suited for real-time applications.

An aerial network formed by quasi-stationary HAPs (17–22 km above the earth) in the stratosphere can also be employed to provide broadband connectivity. HAPs mainly consist of unmanned airships (e.g., balloons) and aircrafts such as unmanned aerial vehicles (UAVs). The solar-powered airships can stay in the air for around five years. Compared to base stations in terrestrial communication networks, HAPs can have large coverage to offer services on a regional basis, and their movement can be partially controlled on demand to provide supplemental capacity in hotspot areas. Moreover, HAPs have the features of low cost, as well as incremental and easy deployment.

For terrestrial networks, a dedicated spectrum with bandwidth 7.5 MHz at 5.9 GHz has been allocated for DSRC, while cellular networks are now evolving to fifth generation (5G) wireless networks to support diverse services including vehicular communications. As for standardization, the Third Generation Partnership Project (3GPP) aims to develop a set of LTE specifications for vehicular environments (LTE-V). The terrestrial networks can provide high data rates to users, but the network coverage in rural and remote areas is poor, and the capacity in hotspots needs to be enhanced. A comparison of the different networking paradigms is given in Table 1.

#### Motivations for Integration
From a service perspective, different QoS requirements imposed by diverse services should be satisfied in various vehicular networking scenarios in a cost-effective and flexible manner. However, the standalone territorial network has many challenges to meet such needs, as detailed in the following.

- **The coverage of territorial networks in rural areas (e.g., mountain areas) and highways is poor.** It is very costly to deploy more network infrastructure in those sparsely populated areas. Instead, existing satellite communication systems or HAPs can efficiently provide vehicular connectivity due to their large coverage. For instance, a satellite cell diameter can be several hundred kilometers, which is equivalent to several thousands of terrestrial base stations (BSs) each having a coverage area of several kilometers in diameter [9].

- **Moving vehicles suffer from frequent handover in territorial networks, degrading on-the-move service performance.** The coverage diameter of an LTE macrocell BS is around 1 km, while the coverage diameter of a small cell BS (SBS) is less than 300 m [10]. Consequently, the moving vehicles on highways will experience highly frequent handovers from BS to BS.

- **The diverse vehicular services cannot be served efficiently by a single technology.** The LTE network can provide a high data rate for individual vehicular users, but it is not designed to efficiently broadcast similar contents to a large number of users. In such a case, satellite/HAP

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Segment</th>
<th>Entities</th>
<th>Altitude</th>
<th>Mobility</th>
<th>Round-trip</th>
<th>Advantages</th>
<th>Limitation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Space</td>
<td>GEO</td>
<td>35,786 km</td>
<td>Static to earth</td>
<td>250–280 ms</td>
<td>Broadcast/multicast, large coverage, rapid commercialization.</td>
<td>Long propagation delay; limited capacity, least flexibility, costly.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MEO</td>
<td>3000 km</td>
<td>Medium fast</td>
<td>110–130 ms</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LEO</td>
<td>200–3000 km</td>
<td>Fast</td>
<td>20–25 ms</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air</td>
<td>HAP</td>
<td>17–22 km</td>
<td>Quasi-stationary</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Large coverage, low cost, flexible movement.</td>
<td>Less capacity, link instability.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>UAV</td>
<td>Up to 30 km</td>
<td>Varying speeds</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ground</td>
<td>DSRC</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>Static</td>
<td>Lowest</td>
<td>Rich resources, high throughput.</td>
<td>Coverage, mobility support, vulnerable to disaster.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cellular</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>Static</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1. A comparison of different paradigms.**
communication systems are more efficient thanks to their efficient broadcasting and multicasting capacity.

• With high spatial-temporal dynamics in traffic loads due to vehicle mobility, network congestion can occur even in urban/suburban areas. However, it is not cost effective to add more terrestrial network resources to meet the peak traffic demands. Exploiting available resources from other systems such as HAPs can be more effective to accommodate the bursty traffic demands.

Through interworking, the advantages from different segments can be exploited to support multifarious vehicular services and scenarios in an efficient and cost-effective manner. For instance, terrestrial networks can serve individual vehicular users through high-rate unicast in urban/suburban areas, while satellite networks help achieve ubiquitous coverage in rural and remote areas. Low-cost HAPs can be utilized to boost capacity at areas with poor or congested terrestrial infrastructure deployment; they can be repositioned to provide emergency communications in disaster scenarios. Moreover, both satellites and HAPs can provide road information and geolocation information to assist terrestrial networks to facilitate time-varying information dissemination as relays, and relieve the demands on terrestrial networks through data offloading.

CHALLENGES

To integrate the space-air-ground networks for vehicular services, there are many challenges:

• Inter-operation: Different networking paradigms are supported by various communication standards and communication links, and equipped with different types of network devices. Currently, each communication system is closed due to proprietary network equipments, and dedicated gateways are required for protocol and format conversions, which significantly limits interoperability.

• Network management: Each network already comprises a large number of devices with dedicated interfaces for configuration and control. The integrated network will become more complex to manage. Moreover, due to the variety of devices with different hardware and software specifications, it is difficult to perform reconfiguration flexibly and dynamically, to either enforce high-level policies or respond to various events such as network congestion and link failures.

• Dynamic networking: The mobility of satellites and HAPs with respect to the Earth complicates integrated network operation. For instance, LEO satellites spin around the Earth in periods less than 130 minutes, leading to resource availability dynamics. Moreover, vehicle mobility results in time-varying and non-uniform geographical vehicle distribution.

• QoS provisioning: Integrated networks should accommodate a wide range of vehicular services with different QoS requirements efficiently. However, the resources in the integrated network exhibit high heterogeneity. Moreover, the resource availability for vehicular services varies over time, since each segment dynamically allocates resources to support its legacy services in priority.

SOFTWARE DEFINED SPACE–AIR–GROUND INTEGRATED VEHICULAR NETWORKS

As an emerging network architecture, SDN separates the control plane and data plane, introduces logically centralized control with a global view of the network, and facilitates network programmability/ reconfiguration through open interfaces. With SDN, a dynamic, manageable, cost-effective, and adaptable network can be enabled. In this section, based on SDN, we propose a software defined space-air-ground integrated vehicular (SSAGV) network architecture to address the aforementioned challenges.

NETWORK ARCHITECTURE

As shown in Fig. 1, the SSAGV network comprises three main segments: space, air, and ground segments. SDN controllers can be deployed on powerful servers or in cloud computing, which regulate the network behaviors and manage network resources dynamically. Considering different segments have distinct characteristics such as communication standards and diverse network devices with various functions, the control and communication interfaces of SDN controllers for each segment should be dedicated to the corresponding segment. In fact, software defined paradigms for satellite, aerial, and terrestrial networks have been proposed separately. To orchestrate the operation of each segment, higher-tier SDN controllers are introduced on the top of SDN controllers in each segment to support vehicular services. To facilitate the decision making at different tiers of SDN controllers, different levels of abstracted information regarding the network status are needed, such as vehicle geographical density, location-dependent content popularity, and the number of active vehicular users in a local area.

Vehicular services should not interfere with the legacy services in different segments. To this end, network slicing is performed in each segment to partition the whole network resource into various slices for different services, whereby those slices operate in an isolated manner and do not interfere with each other. To accomplish this goal, hypervisors are integrated into each segment. Specifically, the lower-level hypervisors at network components such as RSUs and SBSs schedule the local resources to different slices, where each slice can exclusively use the resources for a certain time period. The upper-level network hypervisor coordinates the lower-level hypervisors to perform network-wide resource allocation. As a result, sets of resources are allocated for legacy services. For instance, different sets of resources in satellite networks are allocated for Earth observation, navigation, and weather monitoring. Then the remaining resources from each segment are put into a space-air-ground resource pool for vehicular services. Note that network slicing is dynamically performed to achieve high resource utilization while supporting the time-varying needs of legacy and vehicular services.

LAYERED STRUCTURE

As shown in Fig. 2, the proposed SSAGV network is organized in three layers: infrastructure, control, and application layers. In the infra-
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2 Legacy services refer to the existing services in different segments, rather than the vehicular services; e.g., Earth observation and navigation in satellite networks.
For partial control, the devices can have local intelligence. To efficiently use heterogeneous network resources and conduct different levels of network functions, SDN controllers in different segments are coordinated by upper-tier controllers, through Eastbound and Westbound interfaces.

In the application layer, a variety of vehicular services and network management functions are performed based on the functions provided by the control layer through the northbound interfaces (NBIs). The requests from an application can be translated into rules by NBIs for the SDN controllers, which are further interpreted into instructions to guide the underlying devices through SBIs. Vehicular services correspond to the services provisioned directly to vehicular users, while network management functions facilitate efficient operation, such as mobility management, network hypervisor, data traffic classification, and data traffic engineering. Specifically, mobility management aims to provide seamless connectivity to moving vehicles by associating them with suitable access points.

Data traffic classification associates data traffic flows with different vehicular services and categorizes them into different QoS classes by means of deep packet inspection (DPI) and machine learning. Based on classification, differentiated services can be enabled (e.g., through enforcing corresponding policies). Data traffic engineering can either steer data traffic to different segments and access points (e.g., RSUs and SBSs) for load balancing, or dynamically change the route to avoid congestion. Network hypervisors can help create multiple virtual networks coexisting over the common physical infrastructure by dynamically scheduling multi-dimensional resources. The virtual networks can be tailored to better support different vehicular services.

With the proposed SSAGV network architecture, the following benefits can be achieved:

- Simplified network management and cost-effective network upgrade/evolution
- Optimized network operation and resource utilization
- Flexible and agile network behavior control on the fly, along with adaptation to network dynamics such as topology changes and link failures

The SSAGV network can pave the way toward an open ecosystem with network agility and flexibility, and help achieve efficient interoperability, simplified operation and maintenance, and round-the-clock optimization of networks.

Figure 1. Software defined space-air-ground integrated vehicular (SSAGV) networks.
network operation is performed in a hierarchical manner. Specifically, in the spatial dimension, the network is divided into different domains, which are controlled/managed by the hierarchical SDN controllers correspondingly. Lower-tier SDN controllers manage the underlying network devices in a small area. The upper-tier SDN controllers cover a large area, and can coordinate multiple lower-tier SDN controllers to perform high-level network operations. Lower-tier SDN controllers perform fine-grained control, while the upper-tier SDN controllers conduct coarse-grained control. For instance, the former can perform power control and user scheduling for the underlying devices such as BSs and RSUs, while the latter can steer different amounts of vehicular data traffic to different segments, or adjust the ON-OFF modes of BSs and RSUs for energy saving, based on the density of vehicular users. Taking content delivery as another example, the upper-tier SDN controllers update the content cached in the servers or BSs in a specific area according to the time-varying content popularity, while the lower-tier SDN controllers manipulate the content delivery based on instantaneous users’ requests.

Accordingly, in the temporal domain, the network operation is performed in a multi-timescale fashion, to deal with the network dynamics, such as time-varying resource availability and burst vehicular service requests. In a large timescale, upper-tier SDN controllers adjust their strategies, according to the high-level network status (e.g., spatial traffic distribution or content popularity). In a small timescale, the lower-tier SDN control-
The corresponding SDN controllers dynamically optimize their control policies based on the abstracted network information. Note that the information provided to various tiers of SDN controllers for decision making are different in terms of spatial and temporal scales. For instance, the density of vehicular users can be required for upper-tier SDN controllers, while the detailed location information of vehicular users are needed at lower-tier SDN controllers.

**Big-Data-Assisted Operation**

The decision making of SDN controllers relies on information collection and analysis. Considering the scale and volume of information, big data techniques can be adopted [15]. Through big data analytics, insights can be extracted to guide the decisions of SDN controllers. For instance, data traffic exhibits strong correlative and statistical features in the temporal and spatial domains. By extracting the data distribution features, network resources can be better provisioned. The big-data-assisted operation cycle is shown in Fig. 3b. Specifically, to acquire vehicle related information, in the ground segment different approaches can be employed to collect road data, including roadside cameras, electromagnetic transducers, and acoustic sensors; while in the space or air segments, aerial photography and videos of road information can be collected. After the data collection phase, raw data has to be processed before transmission, such as data compression and data fusion. For instance, the satellite with onboard processing (OBP) can extract useful information before transmission. Then data mining and machine learning techniques can be employed in the data analysis phase in order to obtain the knowledge about the network states. In addition, accurate prediction, such as for spatial-temporal traffic distribution, content popularity, and user mobility, can facilitate optimal decision making. With big data and SDN, intelligent and automated network operation can be achieved.

**A Case Study**

In this section, a case study is provided on SDN enabled coordination of satellite and HAPs, aimed at delivering contents to vehicular users efficiently.

**Network Setting**

Suppose that direct optical links from satellite to the ground is poor due to weather conditions, and HAPs can be utilized as relays. Each HAP is able to connect one satellite through the free-space optical link and connect vehicular users on the ground using microwave links, while a satellite with multiple beams can communicate with multiple HAPs. Consider a LEO satellite network of the Globalstar system, which operates at a height of 1414 km, and consists of 48 satellites in 8 planes with different inclination angles. HAPs, at the altitude of 20 km, are uniformly distributed in the air. HAPs stay relatively stable to the Earth, while the LEO satellites spin around with periods less than 130 min. The parameters are summarized in Table 2. Note that the minimum elevation angle for communications is set to 10°.

**Simulation Results**

We aim to maximize the average signal strength at HAPs (equivalent to maximizing the aggregate throughput) by coordinating the connections between HAPs and satellites. The signal strength mainly depends on the elevation angle. Thanks to the control channel, the SDN controller has real-time spatial information of both satellites and HAPs, which can be exploited to make round-the-clock optimal connection decisions. Specifically, the connection scheduling problem is a bipartite one-to-many matching between satellites and HAPs; and the SDN enabled coordination scheme determines one-to-many matching between the satellites and

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of satellites</td>
<td>6 in a plane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low Earth orbit altitude</td>
<td>1414 km</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Period</td>
<td>114 ~ 130 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inclination angle</td>
<td>0°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Altitude of HAP</td>
<td>20 km</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum elevation angle</td>
<td>10°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radius of Earth</td>
<td>6371 km</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Software defined space-air-ground intergraded vehicular (SSAGV) networks.
HAPs. In comparison, legacy systems without spatial information can only make myopic decisions by greedily connecting HAPs to satellite beams with a high elevation angle. Results of random link establishment are also presented as a benchmark.

Figure 4a shows the average signal strength with respect to the maximum number of the satellite beams when there are 6 satellites and 50 HAPs. It can be seen that the SDN-enabled coordination scheme always outperforms individual greedy and random link establishment schemes, and quickly converges to the optimal value. In the individual greedy scheme, each HAP chooses among the available satellites to attain better signal strength. The SDN enabled coordination facilitates a centralized control, and thus helps achieve round-the-clock optimum.

Figure 4b shows the average signal strength with respect to the number of HAPs when the maximum link of a satellite is set to 3. It can be seen that the SDN-enabled coordination scheme still outperforms the individual greedy and random link establishment schemes. Moreover, with an increase in number of HAPs, the average signal strength decreases, since more HAPs compete for the limited satellite links.

Satellite networks often contain multiple planes, and this study demonstrates the considerable performance gain for one plane. The gain obtained from SDN-enabled coordination in a practical network can be even more appealing.

**RESEARCH ISSUES**

In this section, some open research issues are discussed for the SSAGV network.

**SOFTWARE DEFINED PLATFORM IMPLEMENTATION**

To exploit the potential benefits of the SSAGV network, a fundamental issue lies in the implementation of the SDN paradigm, including the data plane, the control plane, as well as different open interfaces. First, the scope and granularity of control for SDN controllers should be carefully devised to balance the performance and complexity. Second, since this paradigm spans various segments, the distinct features of each segment should be taken into account, such as the respective control and communication interfaces. Third, the deployment of the hierarchical SDN controllers largely affects the network performance, and it needs further investigation.

**QoS-AWARE RESOURCE ALLOCATION**

With different segments integrated in the unified platform, efficient resource allocation becomes a significant challenge to support various vehicular services due to the highly dynamic network environment and multi-dimensional heterogeneity in resources and services. When performing resource allocation, the characteristics of different segments should be considered, such as the predicted trajectory of satellites and the controllable movement of HAPs. In such a case, a time-evolving resource graph (TERG) can be utilized to describe the evolution of satellite and aerial network resources. The TERG is built on a unified two-dimensional time-space basis, where vertices correspond to network elements such as satellites and HAPs, and edges denote the availability of different resources. The TERG can be further combined with available resources at the ground to dynamically support vehicular services.

**INTERACTION AMONG DIFFERENT PARTIES**

A vehicular SP can lease resources from different InPs for vehicular services, where InPs and vehicular SPs have different interests. For instance, the InPs aim to maximize the revenue from the vehicular SP without degrading their own legacy services, whereas the SP attempts to support vehicular services cost-effectively by exploiting different resources from InPs. The interaction between the InPs and the SP greatly affects the service performance, which can be studied through game theory. For example, the interactive procedure of price negotiation and resource dispatch can be modeled and analyzed by non-cooperative games. Furthermore, in the scenarios with multiple InPs and multiple vehicular SPs, the auction theory can be employed to analyze their interactions.
NETWORK VIRTUALIZATION
To better support multifarious vehicular services/ scenarios, network virtualization can be employed to create service-oriented virtual networks. Based on the service requirements, the virtual networks can be customized, including the virtual network topology and end-to-end protocols. Moreover, virtual networks can have stable virtual network topology by dynamically embedding the virtual nodes to the physical nodes, helping mitigate the adverse effects caused by the time-varying physical topology. To facilitate network virtualization, optimal virtual network topology, dynamic network embedding, and customized end-to-end protocols need extensive investigation.

NETWORK SECURITY
Since SDN controllers are responsible for managing resources and controlling network operation, it is imperative to protect the SDN controllers from different cyber attacks. For instance, denial-of-service (DoS) attacks can be launched to paralyze the operations of SDN controllers, or SDN controllers can be compromised through inside attacks. In addition, a variety of vehicular services require different security goals in terms of confidentiality, integrity, and authentication. Those requirements translate into various quality of protection parameters such as cryptographic variables and encryption key lengths. To better protect the network and vehicular services, the security aspect of SSAGV networks should be investigated.

CONCLUSION
In this article, we have proposed an SSAGV network architecture to exploit the advantages of space, air, and ground segments, to support diverse vehicular services in various scenarios efficiently and cost-effectively. The proposed open network architecture can achieve network agility and flexibility, simplify network management and maintenance, and adapt to changing user demands and network states. The new network operation model can pave the road for resource sharing and collaboration among different segments. To accelerate the pace of SSAGV network development, extensive research efforts are required in the outlined research directions.
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