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Abstract - Since we have already designed a flexible form of representing the Relational Algebra Tree (RAT) translated by the SQL parser, the application of this kind of object-oriented representation should be explored. In this paper, we will show you how to apply this technique to complicated scenarios. The application of Reverse Query Processing and Reverse Manipulate Processing related to this issue will be discussed.
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I. INTRODUCTION

We have already provided an object-oriented means to describe the relational algebra tree (RAT) parsed from the SQL statement. Since an intuitive solution has been found, why couldn’t we delve into its usage and explore more flexible variation adapted for different scenarios.

Reverse Query Processing (RQP) [5], a tool generating databases for testing database applications, helps to eliminate the daunting task of manual tester. An extension of RQP is RMP [3] – Reverse Manipulate Processing. It can be used for all the data manipulating statements in SQL which provides an integrated measure to execute stored-process unit testing automatically. It is wise to find a way to depict the interim result of each processing stage, hence that’s why we discuss the object-oriented way here for their possible applications.

II. SOLUTIONS

A. Previous work

Our work on the SQL’s translating into relational algebra tree can be found in [1] and we have chosen an object-oriented way to depict the translating results. We divided the translating issue into 5 separate parts according to their query type. Each query type is specified with detailed example(s).

The [3] has extended the RQP algorithm to RMP. The RMP helps us to resolve the limitation of RQP and its Evaluator can translate the ‘DELETE’, ‘INSERT’ and ‘UPDATE’ manipulation into ‘SELECT’ statement with added predicate constraint(s). Therefore, our Relational Algebra Tree should be adjusted to Reverse Relational Algebra Tree (RRA Tree) and also relationships between classes in the SQL parser should be revised in order to run the procedure more smoothly. Note that some other literatures use the “Query Tree” term so as to depict the query order more conveniently with mathematic symbols or notations.

B. RMP Architecture

The architecture of our RMP system is shown in Fig. 1. It combines the entire architecture of the relational algebra translator with the RQP architecture supplemented with an Evaluator. The following sections will respectively explain every key stage in detail.

C. RMP Evaluating Algorithm

In reference [3], the evaluation of DELETE, UPDATE and INSERT statements have been discussed and verified. In order to adjust the evaluation to the naming process, we should discuss the evaluating algorithm first. The algorithm is presented in Table I.

We need to replace the DELETE, UPDATE and INSERT keywords with customized ones which can be mat-
ched by our new SQL restricted grammar and recognized by the SQL parser. In the parsing stage, we also need to denote a new field in the Query class which can reflect its deviation.

**TABLE I. RMP EVALUATING ALGORITHM**

Function `evaluate` evaluates a SQL statement. It first checks for a DELETE operation, then for an INSERT, and finally for a SELECT operation.

```java
function evaluate(sql : SQLStatement) : string
begin
case getFirstToken(sql) of
  DELETE:
    appendToken(resultStr, DEL_SLT);
    appendToken(resultStr, ASTERISK);
    append(resultStr, sql);
  INSERT:
    appendToken(resultStr, INS_SLT);
    append(resultStr, INTO);
    if isDefaultTuples(sql) is TRUE then
      appendRelAttr(resultStr, scanTuplesFromValues(sql));
    else if isPartialTuples(sql) is TRUE then
      appendRelAttr(resultStr, scanTuplesFromRelaList(sql));
    else
      appendRelAttr(resultStr, scanTuplesFromRelaList(sql));
    end if
    appendValues(resultStr, VALUES);
    while hasValues(sql) do
      appendValues(resultStr, getValues(sql));
    end while
  UPDATE:
    setStatementType(sql, SELECT);
    appendToken(resultStr, UPD_SLT);
    appendString(resultStr, remove(evaluate(sql), SELECT));
    setStatementType(sql, DELETE);
    appendString(resultStr, evaluate(sql));
    setStatementType(sql, INSERT);
    appendString(resultStr, evaluate(sql));
  SELECT:
    appendString(resultStr, toString(sql));
end case;
return resultStr;
end evaluate
```

**D. Revised SQL Grammar**

Because we need to include the DELETE, UPDATE and INSERT statement for our new RMP system, the original EBNF [6] grammar should be revised so as to recognize all the SQL manipulations. The new restricted grammars are shown in Table II.

**TABLE II. REVISED SQL RESTRICTED GRAMMAR**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Token</th>
<th>Grammar</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>query</td>
<td>FROM relation_list</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>gb_query</td>
<td>gb_query</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>unary_query</td>
<td>simple_query</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>simple_query</td>
<td>SELECT selector FROM relation_list [ WHERE simple Predicate ]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>del_simple_query</td>
<td>DEL_SLT selector FROM relation_list [ WHERE simple Predicate ]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>ins_simple_query</td>
<td>INS_SLT selector FROM relation_list [ WHERE simple Predicate ]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>upd_simple_query</td>
<td>UPD_SLT selector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>gb_query</td>
<td>unary_query GROUP BY gb_attr [ HAVING hav_condition ]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>exists_query</td>
<td>SELECT selector FROM relation_list WHERE exists Predicate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>del_exists_query</td>
<td>DEL_SLT selector FROM relation_list WHERE exists Predicate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>ins_exists_query</td>
<td>INS_SLT selector FROM relation_list WHERE exists Predicate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>upd_exists_query</td>
<td>UPD_SLT selector FROM relation_list WHERE exists Predicate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>complex_query</td>
<td>SELECT selector FROM relation_list WHERE left_term comp_op ngb_query</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>del_complex_query</td>
<td>DEL_SLT selector FROM relation_list WHERE left_term comp_op ngb_query</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>ins_complex_query</td>
<td>INS_SLT selector FROM relation_list WHERE left_term comp_op ngb_query</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>upd_complex_query</td>
<td>UPD_SLT selector FROM relation_list WHERE left_term comp_op ngb_query</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>binary_query</td>
<td>nbg_query set_op nbg_query</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>relation_list</td>
<td>ID relation_list COMMA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>gb_attr</td>
<td>attribute_spec_list</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>hav_condition</td>
<td>function_spec comp_op constant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>selector</td>
<td>attribute_spec_list</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>attribute_spec_list</td>
<td>attribute_spec_list COMMA attribute_spec attribute_spec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>function_spec_list</td>
<td>function_spec_list COMMA function_spec function_spec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>simple_predicate</td>
<td>LPARAN simple_predicate boolean simple_predicate RPARAN attribute_spec comp_op attribute_spec attribute_spec comp_op constant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>exists_predicate</td>
<td>EXISTS nbg_query</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>left_term</td>
<td>attribute_spec constant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>function_spec</td>
<td>ID LPARAN attribute_spec_list RPARAN attribute_spec_list</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>attribute_spec</td>
<td>ID DOT ID</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>Boolean</td>
<td>AND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>set_op</td>
<td>UNION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>comp_op</td>
<td>EQ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>constant</td>
<td>NUM</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We have omitted the revised extended SQL grammar in order to save pages. But we should be aware of the new tokens generated by RMP evaluator which should be reflected to new extended grammar. Grammar `<in_query>`, `<in_set_query>`, `<not_in_query>`, `<all_query>`, `<время>`,
<any_query>, <not_exists_query>, <contains_query>,<does_not_contain_query>,<set_equality_query>,<set_inequality_query> and <compound_query> should be appended with duplicated items with 'ins_', 'del_' and 'upd_' prefix and customized first token like the item 5,6,7 in Table II.

E. Naming Transformation and Preprocessing

In reference [2], the objective of naming transformation is to eliminate SQL ambiguous syntax problem and put the input into a form that can be accepted by the extended grammar.

In the first case, the process is alternative according to different application. In RMP, it is unnecessary to distinguish different instances of the same base relation. In the second case and also the third case, the extension of attribute names and variables eliminated are required.

Next stage, the preprocessing, includes two key steps. The first step is that rewriting the asterisk with relation-attribute pairs corresponding to the closure of all its sub-query. We have no idea about how the database schema is, so relations are required to be included in the input if ASTERISK is an allowed keyword. In RQP and so as the RMP, the input SQL statement is used to compare with the database schema input at runtime. In order to prevent extra conflict judging we have omitted the '*' keyword.

The second step is that transforming the non-base query into Group-by Query, Binary Query, Complex Query, Simple Query and Exists Query. The entire transformation discussion can be found in [2].

F. Parsing SQL into RA Tree and Postprocessing

In order to distinguish different Query types we need to add a new field – RMPType to the Query class definition. It is an enumeration type which is used for depicting its origin SQL statement type before evaluation. The Query hierarchy is shown in Fig. 2.

```
Figure 2. Hierarchy of SQL.
```

There are five base Query type generated from the postprocessing stage. The class diagrams of each type are shown in Fig. 3, Fig. 4, Fig. 5, Fig. 6 and Fig. 7 respectively. Except for the RMPType field there is no big difference from the ones in [1]. The following chapters will discuss each Query type corresponding to its object-oriented representation of the node of Relational Algebra Tree and the postprocessing stage related to its final output – Reverse Relational Algebra Tree.

The tree nodes in the RRA Tree should be distinguished from the RA Tree node. The Reverse Relational Algebra (RRA) is a reverse variant of the traditional relational algebra and depicted by symbol (operator) marked as op' [3].

1) Simple Query

Fig. 3 denotes the classes and their relationships in Simple Query. From the association between class FunctionSpec and class Function, we can figure out that the function field of FunctionSpec is nullable. When it is null, the instance denotes a group of attributes without any function applied, i.e., the attributes in projection item “PJ[S.A, S.B]”. On the contrary, the attributes are aggregated by a specific function, i.e., “SUM(S.A, S.B)” in projection item “PJ[SUM(S.A, S.B), S.C]”.

```
Figure 3. Class Diagram for Simple Query.
```

Especially, the fields in class SimplePredicate are of union type. According to the grammar <simple_predicate>, we recognize that the combination of its fields can be {simple predicate, boolean, simple predicate}, {attribute spec, comp_op, attribute spec} and {attribute spec, comp_op, constant}. Therefore, there are two possible kinds of attribute for field left in class SimplePredicate, three possible kinds of attribute for the field right and two possibilities for the field infix.

There are two possible scenarios in the translation. The first one is that the simple_predicate item is empty and there is no other “external” relation. Another one is that simple_predicate occurs, which involves further calculation of “external” relations in order to incorporate them in the Cartesian product.
In the first case, we assume that the input string generated through the first three stages in SQL Translation is:

\[
\text{SELECT F(R.A), S.B, T.C FROM R, S, T} \quad (1)
\]

The SQL was translated into RRA Tree whose structure is shown in Fig. 4.

Just like the Cartesian product, the \( \theta \)-join is a binary operator. It connects two relations with specific predicate. As a matter of fact, until being optimized the \( \theta \)-join node would never contain any predicate because it originally represents the Cartesian product between two expressions. After obtaining the Cartesian product of these three relations, the aggregation node and then the projection node are constructed upon this binary tree. The top-down sequence of these nodes is consistent with that of the SQL translation algorithm [1].

We should notice that four cases of Group-by Query should be distinguished. The first one is that the GROUP-BY clause has no effect. The second one is that there is no HAVING clause but the aggregate function should be evaluated. The third one and the fourth one are distinguished by the condition whether the HAVING clause has a nesting query or not. Except the first case, the unary query in group-by query should be changed into a form that its projection should incorporate all the attributes of its relations list order to correctly evaluate the functions.

The first case is simple and when we input the following query we get the relational algebra tree shown in Fig. 7.

\[
\text{SELECT R.A FROM R WHERE R.B > 7} \quad \text{AND R.C = ‘Tom James’ GROUP BY R.C} \quad (3)
\]

In the second case, we need to assume that some relations in this query have appeared in upper level. So, we can embed this simple query into another kind of query:

\[
\text{SELECT S.A, S.B FROM S WHERE EXISTS} \quad \text{SELECT R.C, F(R.A) FROM R WHERE R.B = S.A.} \quad (2)
\]

Fig. 5 is its corresponding relational algebra tree. Attribute ‘S.A’ and attribute ‘S.B’ are the “external” attributes extracted from the upper level Exists Query. They group the tuples of Cartesian product of ‘S’ with ‘Q’ by different values of the tuples of S and the results are manipulated by the aggregate function ‘F’.

2) Group-by Query

Fig. 6 is the class diagram of the group-by query. We use the class HavCondition to represent the predicate of Group-by Query. There are two possible kinds of combinations of its fields. They are \{function_spec, comp_op, constant\} and \{function_spec, comp_op, ngb_query\}. Because the first two fields of them are the same, we just need a union type to represent the third field of class HavCondition.

If the third field is a non-Group-by-Query, it means that we have to deal with an unknown nesting query. Because the class NgbQuery is an abstract class, we can utilize the polymorphism of object-oriented language for solving the nesting query problem.
occurred in the query while constructing the syntax tree. For instance:

```sql
SELECT F(R.A) FROM R WHERE R.C = 7 GROUP BY R.B
```

is translated into a relational algebra tree shown in Fig. 8.

In the third case, we need to evaluate the aggregate function in the HAVING clause and incorporate them with that of the term unary_query. For instance,

```sql
SELECT F1(R.A) FROM R WHERE R.C = 7 GROUP BY R.B
```

is translated into a relational algebra tree shown in Fig. 9. Function $F1$ and $F2$ apply to the tuples grouped by attribute $R.B$.

In the fourth case, we need to evaluate the nesting query in the HAVING clause. We embedded a simple query into the group-by query as the following example:

```sql
SELECT F1(R.A) FROM R WHERE R.C = 7 GROUP BY R.B HAVING F2(R.C) > 2
```

Its relational algebra tree is shown in Fig. 10. Two sub-queries are linked by a semi-join with a predicate, 'F2(R.C) > S.C', extracted from the HAVING clause. In addition, this semi-join can be transformed into a θ-join following with a projection on its left term.

3) Exists Query

The class diagram that describes the Exists Query is shown in Fig. 11. The key task is to interpret the term ngh_query.

```java
ExistsQuery
uggestion

NgbQuery

Figure 11. Class Diagram for Exists Query.

```

 Exists Query should be discussed in two cases. The first case is that there is no connection between the field ngh_query and the field relation_list in the class ExistsQuery. Whether there is common relation or not is calculated by method connect [2] and the “external” relations are obtained by method other [2]. For instance,

```sql
SELECT R.A FROM R WHERE EXISTS SELECT S.A FROM S WHERE S.B > 7
```

is translated into a relational algebra tree shown in Fig. 12.

In order to keep the integrity of the relational algebra tree we retain the aggregation node which has no effect and this will be eliminated in the postprocessing.

The second case is that these two fields are related. From the example below, the relation set calculated by method connect is \{R\} and the attribute set obtained from method other is empty.

```sql
SELECT R.A FROM R WHERE EXISTS
```

So, the term ngh_query has already dealt with all the relations involved in this query and there is no “external” relation. We can perceive this effect through Fig. 13.

4) Complex Query

The class diagram is shown in Fig. 14. The complex-query contains a comparison between a left_term and a nesting non-Group-by-Query. Being somewhat alike the Exists Query, Complex Query, it uses the connect [2] method to calculate the common relations and the other
[2] method to obtain the “external” attributes list and then translate the comparison into a selection operation. We use the following example to reflect this effect:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{SELECT} & \ S.A \ \text{FROM} \ S \ \text{WHERE} \ S.C = S.B \\
\text{SELECT} & \ R.C \ \text{FROM} \ R \ \text{WHERE} \ R.B = S.B
\end{align*}
\]

(9)

Fig. 15 is the translation result and from this we can recognize that relation ‘S’ is the connecting relation. The sub-query has involved all the relations in this query and the upper query just need to apply the selection ‘S.C = R.C’ on that expression.

5) Binary Query

A Binary Query should be translated into two sub-queries linked by a binary operator (INTERSECT, UNION, or DIFFERENCE) and its descriptive class diagram is shown in Fig. 16. The Binary Query translation requires the sub-query to be associated with “external” attributes calculated by method other [2] respectively in order to become useful for upper level queries. For example,

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{SELECT} & \ R.A \ \text{FROM} \ R \ \text{WHERE EXISTS} \ (10) \\
\text{(SELECT} & \ S.B \ \text{FROM} \ S \ \text{INTERSECT} \\
\text{SELECT} & \ T.B \ \text{FROM} \ T \ \text{WHERE} \ T.C = R.C)
\end{align*}
\]

is translated into a relational algebra tree shown in Fig. 17. The “external” attribute set of sub-query ‘SELECT S.B FROM S’ is empty and the “external” attribute set of sub-query ‘SELECT T.B FROM T WHERE T.C = R.C’ is \{R.A, R.C\}. From the “external” attributes sets, we notice that the first sub-query lacks of relation ‘R’ which is required in order to perform the intersection with the second sub-query. Hence an additional Cartesian product of the first sub-query with ‘R’ is required.

6) Postprocessing

Except for the post-processing in [2], here we need to eliminate the tree nodes which have no effect on the expression, such as aggregation node missing the aggregate attribute, 0-join node linking only one expression without predicate or selection node missing predicate.

In RQP, the intersection operation is not allowed. So, we need find another way to transform the intersection node to equivalent mutation. Because operation ‘A ∩ B’ is equal to ‘A – (A – B)’, Difference Operator can substitute the intersection operation in RQP algorithm. Therefore, the RRA Tree in Fig. 17 can be transformed into the style in Fig. 18. Some redundant nodes are removed but the format of the connecting line in previous diagram is retained so as to reflect its change more clearly.

\[
\begin{align*}
&\pi_{-1}(R.A) \\
&\pi_{-1}(R.A, R.B, R.C) \\
&\pi_{-1}(T.B, R.A, R.C) \\
&\pi_{-1}(T.B, R.A, R.C)
\end{align*}
\]

Figure 18. RRA Tree in Fig. 17 after Reducing the Difference Operation

The semi-join node also needs to be transformed to a θ-join format. The semi-join can be expressed by a θ-join followed with projection onto the left term:

\[
A \bowtie B = \pi_A (A \bowtie B)
\]

(11)

The transformation result of the RRA Tree in Fig. 10 (Group-by Query, case 4) is shown in Fig. 19.

\[
\begin{align*}
&\pi_{-1}(F(R.A)) \\
&\pi_{-1}(F(R.A)) \\
&\pi_{-1}(R.A, R.B, R.C, F(R.C)) \\
&\pi_{-1}(F(R.C) > S.C)
\end{align*}
\]

Figure 19. RRA Tree in Fig. 17 after Reducing the Semi-join

At last, and perhaps the most complicated transformation, we need to detect the comparison in selection node. If the predicate is a comparison between the attribute in left term (left child of the node) and the one in right term
(right child), then the predicate in the selection node should be added to the \( \theta \)-join. This is for optimizing. The RRA Tree can be simplified and we should “remove” the \( \theta \)-join without predicate for it is a common situation as we can see in the example through the five type of Query. We can look back to the example in Fig. 18. The sub-tree of the Difference Operation node ‘-1’ which begins with a ‘\( \pi \)-1’ node can be transformed to a new style shown in Fig. 21.

\[
\pi^{1 \rightarrow} R.A
\]

\[
\pi^{1 \rightarrow} S.B, R.A, R.C
\]

\[
T.C = R.C
\]

\[
\pi^{1 \rightarrow} S.B -1 T.C \equiv R.C
\]

\[
\pi^{1 \rightarrow} S.B -1 R.C
\]

\[
\pi^{1 \rightarrow} R.A
\]

Figure 21. RRA Tree in Fig. 18 after Reducing the Empty \( \theta \)-join.

**G. Annotation and Traversal**

Every node in the RRA Tree should have a reference of the Query parsed by the SQLParser for obtaining the attributes in the syntax tree structure. The node also has its own instance of RQP processing data structure for the bottom-up annotation and later processing. In the annotation stage, the Annotator (see the QueryAnnotator module in Fig. 1) will process each operator in RRA Tree generating input schema computed and extracted from the given output schema(s). Each operator should check the correctness of the input and ensure that it has generated valid output data. The detailed algorithm and computation can be found in Chapter 5 of [5].

In order to illustrate a comprehensive annotation for a specific RRA Tree, we have cited the database schemas ‘Line-item’ and ‘Orders’ (which could also be found in [5] as an illustrative example) as the input parameters. They can be expressed by the following DDL forms.

```sql
CREATE TABLE Lineitem (  (12)
    lid INTEGER PRIMARY KEY,
    name VARCHAR(20),
    price FLOAT,
    discount FLOAT
    CHECK (1 >= discount >= 0),
    l_oid INTEGER);

CREATE TABLE Orders(  (13)
    oid INTEGER PRIMARY KEY,
    orderdate DATE);
```

Also, a Group-by Query is employed to help establish a RRA tree. The translation of the following query is like that one of (5) whose RRA tree structure can help us to understand the relationship of each operator:

```
SELECT SUM(price)  (14)
FROM Lineitem, Orders
WHERE 1 oid = oid
GROUP BY orderdate
HAVING AVG(price) <= 100;
```

The RRA Tree should be traversed in a post-order. Firstly, the two leaf nodes in the RRA Tree are annotated with (12) and (13) setting the operation type to LEAF. Their input-schema fields are regarded as the output-schema of their upper node – Join Operation. Then a series of computation of constraints and dependencies should be carried out (interested reader can refer to Section 5.1 in [5]) and the new inputSchema can be passed onto its father node. In this way, the annotation continues until it reaches the root node. Fig. 21 has shown us a snap of the annotating process of the Join Operator with inputs of Lineitem and Orders. Their DDL schemas have been translated into objects instantiated through syntax recognition. Objects are represented with rectangles in Fig. 21. Those highlighted with bold and wider lines are our RRA Tree nodes.

The ensuing processing stages of RQP/RMP are straightforward: reversal in-order traversal of the RRA Tree applying the top-down data initiation algorithm (with model checking when needed). The entire descriptive algorithm can be found in [5]. It is not necessary for us to illustrate this process again here. Similar object representation method can be derived from our annotation illustration (see Fig. 22). Note that each stage, either the
annotation or data initiation, should focus on the SQL parsing outcome for our RRA Tree representation discussed in Section F has been used as a frame of reference.

The Optimizer functions after the Annotator. From RRA Tree perspective, it adjusts the structure pursuing lower cost. In some way this is like the postprocessing in parsing SQL. Since existing optimizing description [5] and our former transmutation have been discussed, it is redundant to present this issue again.

The RQP/RMP system ends up outputting the final database instance with specific form depending on what the experimenter wants to get at. The generated database instances have been stored in MySQL 5.0 database management system in our experiment.

III. FUTURE WORK

The RQP algorithm can be applied to database generation, database app-testing, program verification, view update, and etc. In the meanwhile, when we generate testing data for stored-process, RQP algorithm do have limitation. Because it cannot deal with other SQL statements except for the SELECT. The RMP algorithm can solve that problem nicely. The next step we need to do is to apply the RMP algorithm to generate testing data for stored-process in database and SQL statement embed in programs. The database instance generated when the SQL statement run in error will be taken into consideration further.

IV. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we have stated the entire procedure of RQP/RMP and displayed an intuitive object-oriented model. The solutions presented in Chapter II have almost covered all the processing scenarios in the RQP/RMP algorithm. The probable application perspectives have also been stated for both us and other further researches.
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