

## Assignment 1.2

Due on Thursday Feb. 6<sup>th</sup> (11:59 PM)

Please read the rules for assignments on the course web page (<https://ece.uwaterloo.ca/~smzahedi/crs/ece750/>). Use Piazza (preferred) or directly contact Seyed (smzahedi@uwaterloo.ca) with any questions.

**3. Correlated Equilibrium. (35 points).** Consider the following game.

(5,5)	(1,6)	(-6,0)
(6,1)	(-6,-6)	(0,-6)
(0,-6)	(-6,0)	(-1,-1)

**a.** Find the correlated equilibrium that achieves the highest symmetric utilities (10 points).

**b.** Consider a distribution that assigns a probability of 1/3 to the outcomes (6,1), (1,6), and (-1,-1), with zero probability assigned to all other outcomes. Is this distribution a coarse correlated equilibrium? (10 points)

**c.** Is the distribution from part (b) a correlated equilibrium? (5 points).

**d.** Suppose that  $f_i : A_i \rightarrow A_i$  is a function that maps recommended strategies (i.e., signals) to agent  $i$ 's playing strategies. In other words, suppose that upon receiving a recommendation to play  $r_i$ , agent  $i$  plays  $f_i(r_i)$ . Using one of the definitions provided in the slides, prove that a joint distribution  $\pi \in \Delta(A)$  is a correlated equilibrium of a finite game **if and only if**

$$\sum_{r \in A} \pi(r) [u_i(r_i, r_{-i}) - u_i(f_i(r_i), r_{-i})] \geq 0,$$

for all  $i$  and for all functions  $f_i : A_i \rightarrow A_i$  (10 points).

**4. (Pure and Mixed Nash Equilibrium. (45 points)).**

**a.** Each of  $n$  candidates chooses a position to take on the real line in the interval  $[0, 1]$ . There is a continuum of citizens, whose favorite positions are uniformly distributed between  $[0, 1]$ . A candidate attracts votes of citizens whose favorite positions are closer to his position than to the position of any other candidate; if  $k$  candidates choose the same position, then each receives the fraction  $1/k$  of the votes that the position attracts. The pay-off of each candidate is his vote share.

- Model the game and find all pure strategy Nash equilibria when  $n = 2$  (5 points).

- Show that there does not exist a pure strategy Nash equilibrium when  $n = 3$  (10 points).
- Find a mixed strategy Nash equilibrium when  $n = 3$  (10 points).

**b.** Two agents are involved in a dispute over an object. The value of the object to agent  $i$  is  $v_i > 0$ . Time is modeled as a continuous variable that starts at 0 and runs indefinitely. Each agent chooses when to concede the object to the other agent; if the first agent to concede does so at time  $t$ , the other agent obtains the object at that time. If both agents concede simultaneously, the object is split equally between them, agent  $i$  receiving a utility of  $v_i/2$ . Time is valuable: until the first concession each agent loses one unit of utility per unit of time.

- Formulate this situation as a strategic game (5 points).
  - Show that in all Nash equilibria one of the agents concedes immediately (10 points).
- c.** Consider the following game (Aumann, 1974).

(0,0,3)	(0,0,0)	(2,2,2)	(0,0,0)	(0,0,0)	(0,0,0)
(1,0,0)	(0,0,0)	(0,0,0)	(2,2,2)	(0,1,0)	(0,0,3)

Agents 1, 2, and 3 pick the row, column, and matrix respectively. Find a mixed strategy Nash equilibrium that is not a pure-strategy Nash equilibrium (5 points).