General Information:
This is a closed book and one 2-sided handwritten note examination. You have 80 minutes to answer as many questions as possible. The number in parentheses at the beginning of each question indicates the number of points for that question. You should read all of the questions before starting the exam, as some of the questions are substantially more time consuming.

Write all of your answers directly on this paper. Make your answers as concise as possible. If there is something in a question that you believe is open to interpretation, then please ask us about it!

Good Luck!!

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>QUESTION</th>
<th>POINTS ASSIGNED</th>
<th>POINTS OBTAINED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
P1 (18 points total) True/False and Why? CIRCLE YOUR ANSWER. For each question: 1 point for true/false correct, 2 point for explanation. An explanation cannot exceed 2 sentences.

a) You can use a socket to communicate between two processes on the same machine.
   - TRUE
   - FALSE
   Why?

b) If you wanted to close one thread in a multithreaded process, the best choice would be to call exit(0).
   - TRUE
   - FALSE
   Why?

c) Incrementing an integer value can always be performed atomically.
   - TRUE
   - FALSE
   Why?
d) Locks can be implemented by leveraging interrupts on single processor computers.

   TRUE       FALSE
   Why?


e) Accessing a variable stored in a thread’s individual stack is always thread-safe.

   TRUE       FALSE
   Why?


f) Switching the order of two P() semaphore primitives can lead to deadlock (recall that sem.P() decrements semaphore value, “sem”, and blocks if it is 0).

   TRUE       FALSE
   Why?
**P2** (20 points) **C Programming and Sockets:** The code below implements a trivial echo server that reads arbitrary data into reqbuf from a client on consockfd socket descriptor, and then sends this data back to the client on the same socket descriptor (we ignore disconnections and other socket errors).

```c
void server(int consockfd) {
  char reqbuf[MAXREQ];
  int n;
  while (1) {
    n = read(consockfd, reqbuf, MAXREQ); /* Recv */
    n = write(consockfd, reqbuf, strlen(reqbuf)); /* echo*/
  }
}
```

Please recall that the last argument of `read()` (MAXREQ) is the maximum number of bytes it can read (usually the size of `reqbuf`), and it returns the number of bytes it reads, `n`, which can be smaller than MAXREQ.

Please answer the following questions. Answering a question may require you to add, delete, or modify the code above. If that’s the case, please specify the # of the line being modified or deleted. If you need to add code, please specify the #’s of the lines between which the code needs to be added (e.g., “add code between lines #4 and #5”).

a) (6 points) Assume the client always sends strings, i.e., ‘\0’ terminated sequence of characters. What can go wrong in the previous code? Provide a fix by specifying the changes to the above code.

b) (6 points) Assume the client sends a buffer that can contain ‘\0’ characters. What can go wrong in the previous code? Provide a fix by specifying the changes to the above code.
c) (8 points) Assume the server needs to exit when receiving the string “quit”. Rewrite the server() code to implement this functionality.
P3 (22 points) Producer/Consumer: Consider the following code that implements a synchronized unbounded queue using monitors that we went over in lecture:

1. Lock lock;
2. Condition dataready;
3. Queue queue;

4. AddToQueue(item) {
5.   lock.Acquire(); // Get Lock
6.   queue.enqueue(item); // Add item
7.   dataready.signal(); // Signal any waiters
8.   lock.Release(); // Release Lock
9. }

10. RemoveFromQueue() {
11.   lock.Acquire(); // Get Lock
12.   while (queue.isEmpty()) {
13.     dataready.wait(&lock); // If nothing, sleep
14.   }
15.   item = queue.dequeue(); // Get next item
16.   lock.Release(); // Release Lock
17.   return(item);
18. }

Please answer the following questions.

a) (6 points) Assume that we have multiple producers running AddToQueue() and multiple consumers running RemoveFromQueue(). Do you need to make any changes to the code? If yes, specify the changes in the above code by indicating the line you need to modify, the line #'s between which you need to add new code, or the line # you need to delete. If not, use no more than two sentences to explain why.
b) (10 points) Change the code to implement a bounded queue, i.e., make sure that the producer cannot write when the queue is full. Add your changes in the empty space of the code below.

```
Lock lock;
Condition dataready;
Queue queue;

AddToQueue(item) {
    lock.Acquire();  // Get Lock
    queue.enqueue(item);  // Add item
    dataready.signal();  // Signal any waiters
    lock.Release();  // Release Lock
}

RemoveFromQueue() {
    lock.Acquire();  // Get Lock
    while (queue.isEmpty()) {
        dataready.wait(&lock);  // If nothing, sleep
    }
    item = queue.dequeue();  // Get next item
    lock.Release();  // Release Lock
    return(item);
}
```
c) (6 points) Implement a new function, `ReadFromQueue()`, which uses the function “`item = queue.read()`” to read an item from the queue without removing it.
**P4** (14 points total) **CPU Scheduling:** Consider the following single-threaded processes, and their arrival times, CPU bursts and their priorities (a process with a higher priority number has priority over a process with lower priority number):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Process</th>
<th>CPU burst</th>
<th>Arrives</th>
<th>Priority</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please note:
- Priority scheduler is preemptive.
- Newly arrived processes are scheduled last for RR. When the RR quanta expires, the currently running thread is added at the end of to the ready list before any newly arriving threads.
- Break ties via priority in Shortest Remaining Time First (SRTF).
- If a process arrives at time x, they are ready to run at the beginning of time x.
- Ignore context switching overhead.
- The quanta for RR is 1 unit of time.
- Total turnaround time is the time a process takes to complete after it arrives.

Given the above information please fill in the following table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>FIFO/FCFS</th>
<th>Round Robin</th>
<th>SRTF</th>
<th>Priority</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Turnaround Time</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**P5** (16 points) **Synchronization:** Next Saturday is the international day of Poker. As the owner of the largest poker website worldwide you expect a large number of games being played (and finishing) at any point in time in your website. Consider that players can play more than one game at a time and any two players can play against each other in more than one game simultaneously. For simplicity, we consider each game has exactly two players.

The backend system of your poker website contains the following multi-threaded code.

```c
queue games_finished_queue;
lock_t games_finished_lock;
semaphore games_to_process_sem;

typedef struct Game {
    ....
} Game;

typedef struct Player {
    lock_t lock;
    uint64_t n_chips;
    uint64_t unique_id;
} Player;

void finish_game(Game* game) {
    lock_acquire(&games_finished_lock);
    enqueue(&games_finished_queue, game);
    lock_release(&games_finished_lock);
    sema_up(&games_to_process_sem);
}

void process_finished_games() {
    lock_acquire(&games_finished_lock);
    sema_down(&games_to_process_sem);
    Game* g = pop_queue_front(&games_finished_queue);
    move_chips(g->player1, g->player2, g->n_chips);
    lock_release(&games_finished_lock);
}

void move_chips(Player* player1, Player* player2, uint64_t n_chips) {
    lock_acquire(&player1->lock);
    lock_acquire(&player2->lock);
    player1->n_chips -= n_chips;
    player2->n_chips += n_chips;
    lock_release(&player2->lock);
    lock_release(&player1->lock);
}
```
a) (6 points) Identify two places in the code where deadlock can occur. If deadlock occurs, use no more than two sentences to explain why it occurs.

b) (10 points) Use the space below to change `process_finished_games()` and `move_chips()` (or copy if correct) to ensure no deadlocks can occur. Explain succinctly why no deadlock can occur with the newly modified code. Note: a single lock at the beginning and end of `move_chips` is not an accepted solution.

```c
void process_finished_games() {
    Game* g = pop_queue_front(games_finished_queue);
    move_chips(g->player1, g->player2, g->n_chips);
}

void move_chips(Player* player1, Player* player2, uint64_t n_chips) {
    player1->n_chips -= n_chips;
    player2->n_chips += n_chips;
}
```
P6. (10 points) **Syscalls**: Please answer the following questions.

a) (4 points) **Syscall dispatch**. Suppose there is a function “foo()” in kernel memory at address 0xA000 that requires full privileges to run. The kernel would like to allow userspace threads to use this function. How can the user thread cause foo() to run? For now, we assume that foo() takes no arguments and has no return value. (HINT: x86 provides an instruction "INT N" that sends interrupt #N to the CPU where N is between 0-255.)

b) (4 points) **Syscall execution**. Suppose instead of just one function, we wanted to support an arbitrary number of system calls (potentially even thousands). Would your approach in part 1 still work? If not, what changes would you need to make?

c) (3 points) **Pintos Kernel Stack**. In Pintos, would foo() use the user’s stack? If not, where does it keep its stack?